REGENT UNIVERSITY | College of Arts & Sciences

Student Teaching Handbook

for
Teacher Candidates and
Other Professionals Involved in the
Teacher Education Internship

January 2023

Introduction

The Regent University Teacher Education Department is committed to providing teacher candidates with practical experiences that will challenge and reward students. University faculty, staff, and cooperating teachers will work with teacher candidates to provide support and expertise while he/she is immersed in a local school using research-based best practices, exhibiting growth in interpersonal relationships, and demonstrating progress in classroom leadership. The teacher education program at Regent University has been developed in accordance with the framework of nationally recognized professional organizations and is accredited by the Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Preparation (CAEP).

This handbook is a guide for teacher candidates and other professionals involved in the teacher candidate internship. This handbook provides policies, requirements, and guidelines for those involved. Each participant is expected to familiarize themselves with the content of the handbook.

The teacher education program at Regent University recognizes the cooperating teacher as an invaluable educational partner who has lasting effects on shaping future teachers. School leaders and university faculty also serve a critical role in the success of the teacher candidate. The heart of mentorship comes from "a commitment to education, a hope for its future, and a respect for those who enter into its community" (Shadio, 1996). We extend our sincere appreciation for those willing to share in the growth of our students in this challenging and collaborative endeavor.

Thank you for working as a team to ensure the success of our teacher candidates.

Cheryl Gould, Ed.D.
Chair, Teacher Education Department
Regent University

Overview

This handbook is designed as a resource to be used during internships. It provides guidance to each member of the team involved in helping to prepare each teacher candidate. The teacher candidate, cooperating teacher, and principal shall have access to this handbook via www.regent.edu/studentteacher in digital format for viewing online or printing. The internship experience should be approached with a great deal of respect for the responsibilities involved and be regarded as an important experience for the teacher candidate. During the internship semester, the teacher candidate assumes an important role in terms of responsibilities and obligations to the students and the school where the teaching preparation is taking place. It is imperative that the teacher candidate arranges personal time schedules to allow proper attention to teaching preparations and other school responsibilities.

Internship Eligibility Requirements

It is the teacher candidate's responsibility to secure forms and materials necessary to apply for admission to the Internship. The student teaching internship application is available online using the link below and must be completed by the established deadlines.

http://www.regent.edu/acad/undergrad/academics/departments/ids/student_teaching_app.cfm

Eligibility

To be eligible for the student teaching internship, candidates must complete the following criteria:

- Cumulative GPA of at least a 3.0.
- Be in good academic standing as indicated on the unofficial transcript in Genisys.
- Successfully complete ALL professional education coursework, with a grade of C or better, the semester PRIOR to the internship.
- Pass ALL professional education assessments PRIOR to applying for internship: Praxis® Subject Area Assessment(s) and Virginia Communication and Literacy Assessment (VCLA), and for PK-3 and PK-6 endorsements, the Praxis® Teaching Reading: Elementary Assessment. Review the "Licensure Assessments" panel on the Teacher Education Resources website for more details.
- Documentation of completed field placement final evaluations.
- Clear background check through CastleBranch.com.
- Proof of current negative tuberculosis (TB) test results.
- Proof of current First Aid/CPR/AED certification.
- Proof of current professional educator's liability insurance.
- Copy of child abuse training certificate (website training link found at https://www.doe.virginia.gov/teaching-learning-assessment/teaching-in-virginia/teacher-licensure/child-abuse-recognition-and-prevention-training)
- Copy of behavior intervention and support training certificate (website training link found at https://cieesodu.org/initiatives/restraint-and-seclusion/)

- Copy of dyslexia awareness training certificate (website training link found at https://www.doe.virginia.gov/teaching-learning-assessment/teaching-in-virginia/teacher-licensure/dyslexia-training)
- Copy of cultural competency training certification (website training link found at https://culturalcpd.emediava.org/)
- Complete a degree plan with your current academic advisor indicating that all coursework will be completed the SEMESTER PRIOR to starting the internship.
- Submit the online student teaching application by the required deadline outlined on Teacher Education Resources webpage at www.regent.edu/studentteaching.

Insurance Coverage

The College of Arts and Sciences requires that candidates enrolled in any license granting program acquire Educators Professional Protection liability insurance prior to registration for placement and internship. This insurance is a safeguard for you and must be maintained throughout the duration of your program placement. This policy should name the student as insured, and Regent University as additional insured. A certificate of insurance must be provided to the Coordinator of Field Placements for Licensure Programs before the semester's registration takes place.

The required minimum amount of one hundred thousand dollars incidental coverage with a three hundred-thousand-dollar aggregate is needed for annual liability coverage ($100,000/$300,000). Rates for this coverage vary depending on the insurance company. Generally, premiums range from $20-$50 per year of coverage. Though membership in a professional organization is highly encouraged by the College of Arts and Sciences, it is not required. Total cost for insurance and a professional membership normally will be about $20- $120 per year. Students must show evidence of insurance liability coverage prior to registration of the student teaching experience; without it, he or she will not be permitted to begin the student teaching experience. The student is responsible for renewing this coverage each year in the program and for turning in a copy of the insurance documentation to the Coordinator of Field Placements for Licensure Programs.

The College of Arts and Sciences recommends the following providers:

1. **Christian Educators**
   P.O. Box 45610; Westlake, OH 44145 (888) 798-1124; Fax (440) 250-9584
   Website: www.christianeducators.org
   Up to $2,000,000 liability coverage during membership.

2. **Student Virginia Education Association (SVEA)**
   Students interested in joining the SVEA should contact Donna Hamilton at VEA (1-800-552-9554 or dhamilton@veanea.org) for a membership form or join online at https://www.veanea.org/join/

3. **Virginia Professional Educators**
   For more information call (888) 873-9661 or visit the website: https://virginiaeducators.org/newmember/

Criminal Background Check

Students are required to order a background check through CastleBranch.com. the Background Check cost is $52. Students are responsible for this cost. Students will order the Regent University background check package, code RQ14. The background check is reviewed by the SOE Field Placement Coordinator and communicates with the Teacher Education Chairperson if any issues are noted.

Students who do not comply with this requirement will not be allowed to enroll in the internship, and lack of the required teaching experience could delay a student's graduation from his or her degree program.

If there are areas of concern surrounding a background check, a meeting will be arranged with the Teacher Education Chairperson to discuss those concerns. Any needed response plan will be developed on an individual basis. If the student is not satisfied with the response plan, the student may appeal the decision in accordance with the procedure for Academic Appeals, found in the Regent University College of Arts and Sciences handbook.

Areas of concern shall include but not be limited to: child abuse or neglect, felony convictions, especially those involving harm to others, theft or fraud convictions, drugs, sexual assault, and misdemeanors other than minor moving traffic violations.

Regent University Student Teaching Internship

Definition of Student Teaching

The purpose of student teaching is to provide a mentoring relationship that allows for guided opportunities for teacher candidates to develop their competencies in major areas of teaching.

The internship involves:

1.   Developing an on-going personal philosophy of teaching.

2.   Learning about and developing teaching techniques, including effective integration of technology.

3.   Teaching individuals, small groups, and whole classes.

4.   Evaluating students and their learning styles.

5.   Evaluating and tracking pupil progress.

6.   Diagnosing teaching difficulties; implementing changes as necessary.

7.   Assisting and individualizing instruction.

8.   Conferring with other teachers.

9.   Conferring with principals and supervisors.

10. Collaborating as part of a team to work effectively with diverse P-12 students and their families.

11. Learning how to make a difference in the lives of students both academically and personal.

12. Evaluating personal and professional growth as a teacher.

13. Demonstrating reflective thinking and practice.

14. Demonstrating professional and personal reflection through the development of a summative portfolio.

15. Participating in University seminars.

The mentoring relationships and the internship experience form a critical part of the teacher candidate's program. This is where knowledge, theory, and practical application must combine into cohesive and successful classroom practices.

Objective and Expected Outcomes

Each teacher candidate will:

1. Complete a 15-week experience, with a minimum of 500 hours, at two different placements in accredited schools. Each student will have

    - an upper and lower grade placement, within their endorsement area

    - at least one placement in a diverse setting

    - at least one placement in a public school. Regent partners with Chesapeake and Virginia Beach for public school placements and Atlantic Shores Christian Schools for private Christian school placements.

2. Evaluate basic personal qualifications for teaching through interaction with the cooperating teacher, school administrators, and the Director of Student Teaching.

3. Apply and test professional knowledge, understanding, and skill through a variety of practical teaching experiences.

4. Participate in and assume responsibility for various teaching activities.

5. Have direct contact with as many major phases of a school's operation as possible.

6. Observe other practicing teachers at the assigned school site.

7. Develop and display professionalism and readiness to enter the profession.

8. Document program skill application through the development of the e-portfolio.

Stages of Student Teaching

Just as a child does not learn to run before walking or play an instrument on the first try, a student teacher does not learn full classroom responsibility instantaneously. This process is gradual, although it will be accomplished in a relatively short period of time – seven or eight weeks, depending on the placement.

According to Piland and Anglin (1993)*, student teachers progress through four stages during their teaching experience: (a) fear/uncertainty - characterized by fear of the unknown and "how to" questions, (b) socialization - they begin to relate to their cooperating teacher, the staff at the school, and the students, (c) autonomy - student teachers want to take control, and (d) self-affirmation -attained by reaching personal goals and expectations.

In order for the student teachers to have a positive experience (in which they attain autonomy and self-affirmation), it is very important that the cooperating teacher assist the student teacher in the gradual taking over of full responsibility for the classroom, rather than taking full responsibility too quickly. Therefore, this portion of the handbook will describe a gradual four-phase process for accomplishing the incremental progress toward the goal of full classroom responsibility

Stage One: Orientation and partial responsibility (weeks 1-2)

Teacher Candidate
- Becomes familiar with colleagues and school facility
- Learns names of pupils and becomes familiar with their unique needs
- Observes instruction using classroom observation and overview
- Begins teaching, following lesson plans prepared by cooperating teacher
- Observes classroom routines and procedures, and describes them in writing
- Participates in classroom routine (e.g., roll-taking or recording grades)
- Participates in related activities (e.g., faculty meetings or athletic events)
- Tutors individual students and small groups
- Becomes familiar with content to be taught later in the semester
- Begins to develop detailed unit/daily lesson plans
- Constructs teaching activities that motivate learning
- Keeps student teaching time log
- Begins a reflective journal on a bi-weekly basis in Canvas
- Asks cooperating teacher and Director of Student Teaching for specific feedback on lesson plans, classroom management, and instructional performance

Cooperating Teacher

- Maintains responsibility for planning and conducting class but involves teacher candidate in planning; shares long-range plans
- Involves student teacher in routine procedures, preparation of materials, and interaction with students, especially during the observation phases
- Incorporates state standards in initial lesson planning
- Sets aside a special time each day to review teacher candidate questions
- Provides specific feedback to teacher candidate frequently via daily conversations and bi-weekly progress forms, etc.
- Submits all paperwork (e.g., progress reports and evaluations) to the Director of Student Teaching. Makes sure to complete all questions on each form.

Director of Student Teaching

- Conducts orientation with cooperating teacher and teacher candidate
- Reinforces standards for initial lesson planning
- Discusses the first evaluation with teacher candidate and cooperating teacher

Stage Two: Partial to Full Responsibility (weeks 2-4)

Teacher Candidate

- Identifies special class characteristics and relates instruction to individual students.
- Manages all routine tasks and classroom procedures.
- Assumes full instructional responsibility for the school day, adding one subject, period or preparation every few days as teaching proficiency increases.
- Increasingly develops daily lesson plans with guidance from cooperating teacher.
- Continues to develop instructional materials for lessons.
- Participates in faculty meetings, parent/teacher conferences, PTA meetings, and staff development workshops offered through the district.
- Continues to maintain student teaching time log.
- Continues to journal on a bi-weekly basis in Canvas.
- Asks cooperating teacher and Director of Student Teaching for specific feedback on lesson plans, classroom management, and instructional performance.

Cooperating Teacher

- Plans instruction cooperatively with the teacher candidate.
- Models a variety of instructional techniques so that student teacher develops a comfort level for a wide range of teaching activities.
- Assesses the teacher candidate's level of competency in lesson planning, classroom management, and instructional delivery.
- Completes and reviews bi-weekly progress and mid-term forms with teacher candidate.

Director of Student Teaching

- Conducts at least four observations during the student teaching experience (typically during weeks 2 - 6 for each placement) and confers with the teacher candidate and cooperating teacher within 24 hours of each observation.

- Confers with teacher candidate and cooperating teacher about evaluations.

- Advises and supports cooperating teacher and teacher candidate as required.

- Provides university with results of student teaching evaluation.

Stage Three: Full Responsibility (weeks 4-6)

Teacher Candidate

- Sustains primary responsibility for lesson planning, preparing materials, delivering instruction, and monitoring student progress.

- Implements and maintains an effective discipline plan.

- Communicates with parents (via newsletters, personal notes, phone calls).
  Assumes primary responsibility for student assessment and recommends student grades to cooperating teacher.

- Provides instruction that recognizes individual student needs.

- Continues to maintain student teaching time log.

- Continues with reflective journaling on a bi-weekly basis in Canvas.

- Asks the school principal (or other building administrator, such as grade-level or department chair) to observe a lesson and provide feedback.

- Second Placement Only – Creates and submits a 30-minute video recording of a teaching session for virtual observation and evaluation by the Director of Student Teaching and/or other university faculty in the teacher education department. The teaching video also serves as a self-evaluation tool.

Cooperating Teacher

- Examines, critiques, and approves teacher candidate's plans for instruction and student assessment.

- Assumes primary responsibility for students' final grades.

- Continues to observe and assess the teacher candidate's instruction.

- Occasionally models instructional strategies.

- Completes and reviews bi-weekly progress and midterm evaluation forms with teacher candidate.

- Second placement only. Assists student teacher with creating a 30-minute teaching video to be submitted for virtual observation and evaluation.

Director of Student Teaching

- Conducts observations and confers with cooperating teacher and teacher candidate.
- Confers with teacher candidate and cooperating teacher about final evaluation.
- Advises and supports the cooperating teacher and teacher candidate.

Teacher Education Chairperson
- The Chairperson will collaborate with the Director of Student Teaching to conduct exit interviews with all teacher candidate candidates for program evaluation purposes.

Stage Four: Giving Back the Classroom (week 7/8)

Teacher Candidate
Continues to prepare lesson plans and to grade students' assignments.
- Observes other teachers referred to by the cooperating teacher.
- Continues to participate in classroom and school activities.
- Continues to maintain student teaching time log.
- Returns the classroom to the cooperating teacher, teaching less and less over the period of a week.

Cooperating Teacher
- Gradually takes back the classroom, one class period or lesson at a time.
- Refers the teacher candidate to other classrooms for observation.
- Continues to model instructional strategies.
- Completes and reviews the final evaluation form with teacher candidate.

Director of Student Teaching
- Collects and processes final evaluations and the evaluation from the principal (or assistant principal).
- Advises and supports the cooperating teacher and teacher candidate.

(Adapted from http://www.rio.maricopa.edu/ci/visitors_center/education/pdfs/cooperating3.pdf)

Student Teaching Roles and Responsibilities

Cooperating Teachers

Cooperating teachers are asked to communicate with the Director of Student Teaching on the progress and performance of the teacher candidate during regular visits by the supervisor and at other times when necessary. Please do not hesitate to contact the Director of Student Teaching at any time should you have concerns regarding the teacher candidate's performance during the internship. Many of the activities below apply only to those doing traditional practical training experiences. Teacher candidates will participate in as many of the following as allowed in their setting. Teacher candidates should abide by all rules, regulations, and expectations of that district.

Cooperating teachers are selected by the school district and must be credentialed in specific endorsement to match the grade level assignment.

The following are suggestions designed to assist a cooperating teacher during the internship:

Preparation

- Orient the teacher candidate to the building, school policies, rules of the school system, and line of authority he/she is to follow when necessary.
- Alert your students that a teacher candidate will soon begin to teach. Reassure them that no major changes will take place. Tell the students that the visit is temporary. Remind them that all current rules and regulations remain in place.
- Notify the parents regarding the teacher candidate's involvement as a teacher in your class.
- Provide an area with work and storage space that the teacher candidate can call his/her own.
- Acquaint the teacher candidate with the curriculum, departments, grade, and building structure.

Communication

- Provide the teacher candidate with copies of textbooks, guides, policy handbooks, class rolls, and anything else you feel will be helpful for his/her internship.
- Conduct conferences with the teacher candidate in anticipation of possible situations, such as discussions with students regarding academic progress and/or behavioral problems.
- Indicate opportunities and encourage the teacher candidate to experience the whole school environment such as parent conferences and communications, PTA meetings, faculty meetings, student assemblies, and related events.

Instruction and Management

- Assist in the analysis and correction of problems encountered by the teacher candidate. Advise the student candidate in conferences about planning, analyzing, and evaluating lesson plans and teaching effectiveness.

- Consult with the teacher candidate and identify strengths and weaknesses of his/her clerical duties such as taking attendance, recording grades, taking the lunch count, and collecting field trip money, and other teacher administration duties.

- Direct the teacher candidate to appropriate techniques of student control and situation management by modeling them as well as by discussing solutions to specific issues.

- Help the teacher candidate analyze and evaluate alternate teaching styles and provide the teacher candidate with opportunities to try different teaching approaches so that the candidate may demonstrate their ability to effectively work with diverse students and their families.
  - The teacher candidate will use a variety of techniques learned at Regent University.
  - Application of these techniques should not be thought of as rigid, but as educational tools that are applied and modified through observing your techniques.
  - Your evaluation will further guide his/her efforts.

- Assist the teacher candidate in using and analyzing formative and summative student assessments.
  - Encourage the candidate to investigate why student(s) were not successful on an assessment and begin to remediate the area of need.
  - Explain to the candidate how to triage student assessment scores into remediation groups based on area need and how to conduct remediation groups and reassessment strategies.

- Confer with the teacher candidate regarding a timetable for increasing his/her teaching responsibilities at the beginning of student teaching and reducing instruction as the student teaching experience comes to an end.

- Review the teacher candidate's lesson plans and instructional materials. Provide guidance on needed revisions to ensure student success.

- Discuss and assist the teacher candidate with any unresolved problems. Discuss topics related to the following:
  - Lesson plan objectives not completely met or mastered.
  - Lack of student academic progress.
  - Classroom management duties not yet realized or completed.
  - Student disciplinary issues not resolved.
  - Professional responsibilities not realized or completed.
  - Incomplete projects.

Evaluation

- Guide the teacher candidate in the selection, development, administration, and interpretation of a variety of classroom evaluation instruments.
- Oversee the teacher candidate's written assessments, students' daily academic progress charts, and anecdotal records. These are to aid decision making for instruction.
- Evaluate the total experience (forms are provided). The cooperating teacher should contribute to making the internship a meaningful experience through continued involvement, evaluation, and feedback. These are indispensable elements in the process of developing an educator. Provide regular feedback to the teacher candidate through the following methods:
  - Keep a daily dialogue journal to analyze and evaluate the teacher candidate's lesson plans, teaching responsibilities, and follow-up activities. Offer suggestions for improvement.
  - Complete the bi-weekly evaluation form online in weeks two and six.
  - Evaluate the teacher candidate's performance using the Regent University Initial Licensure Field Evaluation for the midterm in week four and final in week seven/eight.
  - Share results of the evaluations with the teacher candidate.
  - Submit all evaluations online to the Director of Student Teaching.
- Make sure to provide feedback regarding student academic progress during the internship experience.
- Complete the Cooperating Teacher Feedback form
- Second placement only. Assists the teacher candidate with filming one 30-minute teaching session to be submitted for virtual observation and evaluation.
- Assist the teacher candidate in the development of the summative portfolio with feedback and guidance.

Cooperating Teacher's Checklist

To assist in planning for the teacher candidate, Regent University recommends these actions below. Checklist may be printed for easy reference.

A. Before the teacher candidate (student teacher) arrives

_____ Review responsibilities, requirements, and expectations for cooperating teachers outlined in the Student Teaching Handbook and in your school's policy.

_____ Make pre-teaching contact with the teacher candidate via email or phone. Encourage a pre-teaching visit. Learn about the teacher candidate's background: subject knowledge, pre-student teaching field experiences, and special skills and interests.

_____ Inform the students and parents of the teacher candidate's arrival.

_____ Create a positive feeling of anticipation about the teacher candidate's arrival, if after the start of school. Tell students something about the candidate. Explain his or her role in the classroom.

_____ Prepare relevant curriculum and other materials the teacher candidate might use.

_____ Designate a desk, a nametag or plate, and storage space for the teacher candidate.

_____ Provide the teacher candidate and Director of Student Teaching with a class schedule.

_____ Gather or prepare copies of school and classroom rules and consequences, discipline plan, organizational framework, and routines. Review key points together as early as possible in the internship.

_____ Consider nametags for the students to wear during the first few days or make a seating chart to be presented to the teacher candidate during the initial observation. Consider having the candidate create these things during week one to become better acquainted with students.

B. Welcome period

_____ Orient the teacher candidate to the school building, other teachers, staff, and students.

_____ Arrange a meeting with the principal and the teacher candidate.

_____ Discuss the expectations of the teacher candidate in regard to confidentiality, attendance, personal property, procurement of supplies, and her/his responsibilities.

_____ Provide student teacher with copies of school and classroom rules and consequences, discipline plan, organizational framework, and routines. Review key points together.

_____ Arrange introductions of other teachers.

_____ Allow the teacher candidate to examine samples of students' work.

_____ Provide opportunities for the teacher candidate to become comfortable being in front of the class.

_____ Explain the methods of testing and grading, keeping records, and reporting to parents.

_____ Help the teacher candidate become familiar with the location/operation of equipment.

_____ Provide the opportunity for the teacher candidate to work with individual students.

C. Observation and evaluation assistance

_____ Explain unique characteristics of the students, learning styles, and work habits.

_____ Permit the teacher candidate to accept increasing teaching responsibilities.

_____Provide the teacher candidate with opportunities to observe and assist in conferences.

D. Pre-service training

_____Provide opportunities for the teacher candidate to accept full responsibility for the class so he/she will be comfortable when the cooperating teacher is absent from the classroom.

_____Encourage the teacher candidate to develop lesson plans with less assistance.

_____Continue daily evaluations/feedback, noting strengths and areas for improvement.

_____Invite the principal to observe the teacher candidate.

_____Share with the teacher candidate ways to critique his/her own teaching.

_____Commend the teacher candidate for individual strengths.

_____Allow the teacher candidate freedom to try ideas and techniques; provide reassurance that a failed technique is not necessarily a poor judgment.

_____Demonstrate a technique to assist the teacher candidate to remediate areas for improvement.

_____Share teaching resources, methods, and ideas with the candidate to ensure instructional continuity and quality.

_____Provide the candidate with opportunities to work with families.

E. Evaluating the teacher candidate

_____Develop an accepting and supporting relationship with the teacher candidate. Cultivate a positive climate necessary for giving and accepting analysis of performance and growth-related suggestions.

_____Keep the principal and the Director of Student Teaching informed of the teacher candidate's progress.

_____Schedule evaluation feedback conferences with the teacher candidate daily

_____Assist the teacher candidate in developing appropriate objectives when preparing lesson plans.

_____Use the teacher candidate's lesson plans (both before and after the lessons) to help her/him to teach successfully.

_____Make notes concerning areas of strength and areas for improvement while observing the teacher candidate.

_____Give attention to specifics when making suggestions; assist the teacher candidate to understand why a procedure is effective and one that is less effective and/or inappropriate.

_____Share performance highlights and areas of concerns with the Director of Student Teaching on a regular basis.

F. Working with the Director of Student Teaching

_____View the Student Teaching Orientation Video Series. Attend and meet the director at the school division's scheduled session for cooperating teacher orientation.

_____Arrange, in advance of school visits, for an inconspicuous place for the Director of Student Teaching to sit. Provide a writing surface and adult-sized chair.

_____Coordinate an appropriate place for the Director of Student Teaching to meet with the

teacher candidate immediately after the visit for a post conference. Join the post conference, or a portion, when possible.

_____ Share any concerns, notes, and questions about the teacher candidate's performance.

_____ Share a summary of the teacher candidate's performance, including strengths and areas for improvement.

_____ Compare notes while simultaneously observing the teacher candidate with the Director of Student Teaching.

_____ Leave the classroom occasionally when the Director of Student Teaching visits.

_____ Inform and coordinate with the Director of Student Teaching in all matters regarding attendance, tardiness, behaviors, and suggestions.

G. Reporting the teacher candidate's performance

_____ Complete and submit bi-weekly evaluation forms (weeks 2 & 6) via the online form.

_____ Complete the mid-term (week 4) and final evaluation (week 7/8) of the teacher candidate via the appropriate online forms.

_____ Discuss evaluations with the teacher candidate before submitting.

All evaluation forms can be found at **www.regent.edu/studentteacher.** The cooperating teacher and student teacher will receive a copy of all submitted reports for record keeping purposes. **Allow one week for processing of submitted forms.**

Teacher Candidate

The teacher candidate is expected to meet specific requirements established by the College of Arts and Sciences. The teacher candidate also has certain responsibilities to the cooperating school system, the cooperating teacher, the staff of which he/she is temporarily a part, and the students with whom he/she is involved. Three outcomes will be produced as a by-product of the experience: reflective journals, electronic portfolio, seminar attendance, and participation.

Guiding Principles

1. The teacher candidate works primarily under the authority and guidance of the cooperating teacher to whom he/she is assigned, as well as being under the authority of the principal or administrative head of the school and the Director of Student Teaching.

2. During the semester in which the internship occurs, the teacher candidate is expected to be on duty in the assigned classroom every day that the school is in session unless excused by a responsible authority.

3. The teacher candidate is a guest of the cooperating school and will be recognized as a representative of Regent University by students, faculty, and the community. Therefore, as a member of the teaching profession, the teacher candidate needs to maintain the same professional standards expected of all teaching employees of the school.

4. The teacher candidate is expected to assist in extracurricular activities when possible but is not to be placed in charge of outside activities unless he/she is under the supervision of the cooperating teacher, or another professional educator assigned to the authority position of the activity.

5. The cooperating teacher or administrator should emphasize the importance of professional ethics. The plan is to strengthen the teacher candidate's understanding of ethical obligations and accountability to the students in the classroom and to the participating school district.

6. When analyzing and discussing specific educational situations observed at the site, discretion is vital, and the teacher candidate should use caution to not reveal names of teacher, student, staff, or class observed. Students' personal and academic files, which are privileged information, should always be treated as such.

7. The teacher candidate should establish personal guidelines that will support the cooperating school's policies and reflect support for the school system.

8. The teacher candidate must be sure the students understand all classroom rules and regulations and should encourage the good in students, praise appropriate behavior, and consistently reinforce the established classroom procedures.

9. The teacher candidate is urged to accept every task as a potential learning experience to fulfill as effectively as possible his/her role as teacher. The teacher candidate should develop his/her own educational philosophy consistent with the principles of Regent University.

10. College of Arts and Sciences policy prohibits the release of the teacher candidate to accept a teaching position prior to successful completion of the internship. Receiving compensation for the student teaching field experience is not appropriate.

11. The teacher candidate should not transport any school student(s) in any vehicle except in an emergency situation and as authorized by the cooperating school administration per the guidelines of the specific district. This prohibition extends to field trips and overnight trips that the teacher candidate should attend only if accompanied by the cooperating teacher or other responsible school representatives.

12. The Teacher Education Chairperson, in consultation with the district's office, cooperating teacher, and Director of Student Teaching, can change or terminate the teacher candidate's assignment if necessary. The decision to change or terminate an assignment is an extremely rare occurrence.

Preparation

- During the first week of the semester, complete the Teacher Candidate Personal Data Form via Bb. The Director of Student teaching will provide copies of the form to the cooperating teacher and student teacher.

- On the first day of student teaching, provide the Director of Student Teaching with a schedule and classroom location in digital format (pdf) via email, if the cooperating teacher has not already done so.

- Maintain a reflective journal on bi-weekly basis via Canvas. The journal may include but is not limited to the student teacher's reflections on classroom instruction, management, assessment, collaboration, and daily interpersonal interactions in the school environment. Journal entries should include not only facts about the experience but provide evidence of reflection and how the teacher candidate will use the experiences to grow professionally. The journal will also provide evidence of intern's awareness of strengths and weaknesses during the teaching internship and may address the impact of faith on teacher candidate and student development.

- Maintain a Student Teaching Log Sheet (see p.54). Direct Teaching Contact Hours include instructional hours when children/teens are required to be in school. Non-Student Contact Hours include all other times before- or after-school hours spent planning and preparing for instruction as well as attending school-related events or meetings. Student teachers may count school-related professional development days and time spent at their e-Portfolio Presentation and Exit Conference (1/2 day) as Non-Student Contact hours.

- Have copies of all lesson plans and related materials, student teaching time log sheet, copies of evaluations, and the class schedule in a notebook available for the Director of Student Teaching during school visits. Journal reflections will be submitted bi-weekly via Canvas.

- Write lesson plans for all lessons taught.

- Submit lesson plans to the cooperating teacher at least two days prior to instruction so the cooperating teacher has the opportunity to make any needed corrections or suggestions.

- In the critique section of each lesson plan, answer the following questions:

- Did your students meet the objective(s)?
- What part of the lesson would you change? Why?
- Present assessments to the cooperating teacher for review two days prior to the day given. Follow-up should include administering and scoring any assessments.
- Prepare selective teaching aids and use supplemental resources.
- Observe at least two (2) other teachers during the internship experience representing a variety of settings and teaching styles.

Instruction

- Complete a 15-week, minimum of 500 hours, experience at two placements.
- Utilize audiovisual equipment and computer/instructional/learning technology.
- Assume increasing teaching responsibilities until teaching without assistance from the cooperating teacher.
- Work with large and small groups as well as with individuals to become aware of the total range of student motivation levels and general academic abilities.
- Gather and present classroom-level data. Use data for instructional decision-making.

Management

- Use positive verbal and non-verbal reinforcement for the tasks assigned within the classroom.
  - Actively participate in team problem solving and planning.
  - Maintain anecdotal records and monitor daily progress toward academic objectives.
- Manage the instruction of the classroom including the start and end of each class period, subject lessons, the end of day dismissal, etc.
- Handle discipline problems according to school and classroom guidelines.
- Maintain grading standards and apply these standards during the grading period. In conjunction with the cooperating teacher, assume responsibility for recording grades and assisting in reporting student progress.

Professional Interactions and Responsibilities

The teacher candidate should meet the professional standards as outlined in the Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers (2021). You should "*demonstrates a commitment to professional ethics, collaborates and communicates appropriately, and takes responsibility for personal professional growth that results in the enhancement of student learning.* (p. 8). The Director of Student Teaching and the Cooperating Teacher must be notified if you are going to be absent during the internship (see Attendance section).

Director of Student Teaching

The Director of Student Teaching is the official representative of the College of Arts and Sciences at Regent University and is assigned by the Dean to work with teacher candidates. The Director of Student Teaching should meet the following criteria:

1. Must be a former or current licensed public or private school teacher or school administrator.

2. Must submit three letters of recommendation, and at least one recommendation should be from a recent supervisor.

3. Must demonstrate knowledge of current teaching methodologies and strategies as well as curriculum content.

4. Must be acquainted with current public school, state, and higher education practices, programs, standards, and responsibilities.

The College of Arts and Sciences adheres to a team approach in which the Director of Student Teaching and the cooperating teacher provide continuity in guidance. This continues in the university classroom by relating the experience in the field to discussions with peers and university professors in appropriate seminars.

The Director of Student Teaching will:

1. Observe the teacher candidate by:
   a. Making periodic visits (some announced and some unannounced).
   b. Observing the teacher candidate in a variety of teaching situations.
   c. Conferring with the teacher candidate and cooperating teacher.
   d. Reviewing lesson plans maintained by the teacher candidate relating to the field experience.

2. Evaluate the teacher candidate by:
   a. Having the teacher candidate self-evaluate during post-conference with the Director of Student Teaching.
   b. Providing written formative evaluation with recognition of strengths and suggestions for improvement.
   c. Reviewing the teacher candidate's bi-weekly journal entries and internship portfolio.
   d. Providing written summative evaluation with description of teacher candidate's area of strength and areas for further growth.
   e. Calculating the final grade for the ePortfolio and internship.

3. Act as a resource by:
   a. Providing information on teaching resources and teaching procedures.
   b. Contacting other university personnel to assist in any situation occurring at the site, if needed.

    c.   Being available to advise, counsel, and assist the teacher candidate throughout the internship.

Resolving Conflicts During Student Teaching

Regent University and the School of Arts and Sciences are dedicated to providing quality programs in a learning environment that promotes academic excellence in students, as well as professionalism in faculty members. As in many universities, conflicts and misunderstandings are bound to arise between students and faculty.

The College of Arts and Sciences recognizes the rights of both students and faculty and strives to protect the rights of each group. To that end, the following steps are to be employed to resolve all misunderstandings (based on Matthew 18):

1. The student shall attempt to resolve all misunderstandings with the faculty member before taking his/her concern to the Teacher Education Chairperson.

2. If the student or faculty member believes that the misunderstandings have not been resolved, either may then take his/her concerns to the chairperson.

3. The chairperson shall provide a forum in which both the student and faculty member will participate jointly in an attempt to resolve the misunderstandings.

4. If the misunderstandings cannot be satisfactorily resolved in Step 3 (above), the student or faculty member may request, in writing, that the chairperson take the problem for resolution to the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences.

Methods of Evaluation During Student Teaching

During student teaching, assessment has formative and summative purposes. Formative assessment generates information that the student can use to improve performance during the student teaching experience. This information is provided to the teacher candidate by the cooperating teacher in the form of bi-weekly (p. 57) and mid-term evaluations (p. 59). Summative assessment is a final judgment on the teacher candidate's performance and leads to the final grade on the student's transcript.

Effective assessment is characterized by the following principles:

- Assessment promotes growth by providing feedback that helps an individual develop strengths and minimize weaknesses.

- Assessment for developmental purposes is ongoing, cooperative, and centered on purposeful observation, reflection, and analysis.

- Assessment involves identification of learning goals and objectives.

- Assessment can be focused on specific objectives or areas of concern, or it can be comprehensive, utilizing various kinds of information pertinent to the question at hand.

There are three general categories of assessment conducted during the student teaching experience: regular conferences between the teacher candidate and the cooperating teacher which will include bi-weekly assessments (formative), mid-term assessment (formative), and the final assessment (summative). The Director of Student Teaching will provide formative feedback during announced and unannounced observations (during weeks 2 - 6 for each placement) along with a mid-term and final assessment of the teacher candidate.

Grading Scale for UED 495 Field Experience/Student Teaching

Grades for UED 495 are comprised of two scores: attendance at the student teaching seminar sessions and overall average scores on the final evaluations for each placement. Grades will be assigned using the following rubrics below. Please note attendance counts for 5% of final grade; evaluations count for 95% of final grade.

A teacher candidate may fail student teaching if he or she does not meet the standards for a successful teacher candidate. A successful teacher candidate will earn "Proficient" or better for all areas on the final evaluation per the Regent University CAS Student Teacher Evaluation Form.

	5	3	2	0
Seminar Attendance	Attended all seminars and participated.	Attended all but 1 seminar and participated.	Attended all but 2 seminars and participated.	Missed 3 or more seminars

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	F
Average of Final CT and US evaluation scores from P1 and P2	100 to >93.0 pts Scores between 3.8 or higher.	93 to >90.0 pts Scores between 3.7-3.8	90 to >87.0 pts Scores between 3.30-3.69	87 pts Scores between 3.00-3.29	87 to >79.0 pts Scores between 2.70-2.99	79 to >77.0 pts Scores between 2.30-2.69	77 to >73.0 pts Scores between 2.00-2.29	73 to >0 pts Scores between 1.99 and lower. Must Repeat Student Teaching

Extending Student Teaching

A teacher candidate may receive an "Incomplete" after completing student teaching. In this case, the teacher candidate will be given the chance to extend student teaching rather than fail. A remediation plan will be implemented and must be strictly followed by the teacher candidate. If, after the extended time is completed, the teacher candidate is able to meet the standards for a successful teacher candidate, he or she will receive a grade based on evaluations from the experience.

"Incomplete" means that the teacher candidate has completed all of the requirements for student teaching but needs more time to become "Effective" in one or more of the areas on the student teaching final evaluation. In addition, the teacher candidate has shown growth and perseverance in troublesome areas and only needs more time to become "Effective." The decision to assign "Incomplete" to a student is an extremely rare occurrence and will be the decision of the Teacher Education Chairperson and the Director of Student Teaching in collaboration with the teacher candidate's Cooperating Teacher.

Termination of Student Teaching

If a teacher candidate is asked to leave his or her student teaching assignment by the Cooperating Teacher or other school official, the student teaching experience will be immediately terminated.

A candidate who fails student teaching will be required to spend time in remediation as determined by the Chairperson and the Director of Student Teaching. When the candidate has completed remediation requirements, he or she may be allowed to repeat student teaching. If a candidate fails a second student teaching experience, the candidate will not be permitted to student teach again. A candidate can only repeat student teaching once. As a result of an unsuccessful internship experience, candidates will be allowed to complete program requirements for a different bachelor's degree program. A teacher candidate who has been removed from student teaching may file an appeal with the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences.

The Dean will review the information from both the teacher candidate and the Director of Student Teaching. Based on that review, the Dean will determine if the teacher candidate's appeal should be granted. If granted, the candidate will be allowed to have a new student teaching placement the same semester. If the semester is close to the end, a new student teaching placement will be given the following semester, at no cost to the teacher candidate. If the appeal is denied, the candidate will be required to sit out one semester and adhere to a remediation plan set up by the Director of Student Teaching. The candidate will also register and reapply to repeat the student teaching semester.

Common Concerns and Frequently Asked Questions

This section addresses the most common concerns confronting teacher candidates and answers some of the most frequently asked questions regarding practical training in schools.

Communication

Open communication is very important for the success of our partnerships. You may contact the Director of Student Teaching or Department Chair at the university via phone or email. Contact information is provided at www.regent.edu/studentteacher.

Schedule

All teacher candidates involved in the internship will follow Regent University's calendar for starting and ending dates of each placement. Once started, however, the teacher candidate shall follow the schedule and calendar of the individual school to which he/she is assigned. This includes following the teacher's required arrival and departure times, all holidays, spring/fall breaks, half-days, weather-related or any other called cancellation. Any loss of time would need to be accounted for and the teacher candidate's schedule adjusted accordingly (see Attendance).

Personal Appearance

Professional dress is required during the practical training experiences. Jeans and casual attire are not acceptable except when appropriate for special activities (school spirit days, field trips, etc.). Dress for both men and women should be tasteful, modest, and follow the assigned school's dress code.

Attendance

The teacher candidate must notify both the Director of Student Teaching and the assigned school if he/she is going to miss a day of school. Missed days must be made up to ensure the correct clock hours necessary for state certification. Student teachers who have missed more than two (2) school days during their student teaching semester must make up the missed days (1 day absent = one make-up school day) at the end of the student teaching experience, regardless of the hours noted on their logs.

Professionalism

The teacher candidate should remember that he/she is a guest of both the school and school system. The teacher candidate is also the guest of the cooperating teacher whose classroom practices and procedures must continue smoothly throughout the teacher candidate's placement. Professionalism is emphasized. The teacher candidate should assume the role of humble servant-apprentice in the teacher candidate-cooperating teacher relationship. The teacher candidate should exhibit assertive and authoritative instruction and classroom management as necessary for effective instruction but should yield to the authority of the cooperating teacher at all times.

Evaluation

The teacher candidate is in a mentoring situation to learn, to experiment, to question, and to grow. The teacher preparation program experience should be viewed as an opportunity to serve the students, cooperating teacher, and school community. It is imperative that the teacher candidate remains open to the suggestions, critiques, and evaluations of the cooperating teacher, Director of Student Teaching, and other professionals involved in the internship. Remember, evaluation of teaching practices does not end at the conclusion of the experience; a veteran teacher of twenty years is still being evaluated.

Outside Commitments

The internship is demanding and constitutes a full-time workload. It is strongly recommended that the student teacher focus solely on the internship. In some cases, it may be necessary for the teacher candidate to work part-time. Teacher candidates are warned against trying to undertake too many commitments. The internship should be the top priority as it is the culmination of the teacher candidate's program.

Substitute Teaching during the Internship

Regent University teacher candidates may NOT be used as substitutes. If the cooperating teacher is absent, the school division has the legal responsibility to hire a substitute because of liability. The student teacher should yield to the authority of the substitute teacher when the teacher is absent. The classroom teacher must provide specific instructions to the substitute if the teacher desires the student teacher to provide some or all of the day's instruction.

Summative E-Portfolio Introduction and Guidelines

The summative e-portfolio is a tool to appropriately showcase the work and growth of the teacher candidate during the final phases of the teacher preparation program. It is NOT simply a gathering of all the lesson plans, and assignments completed during a designated time period that has been placed in a notebook/scrapbook.

The e-portfolio you will develop as a teacher candidate in Regent College of Arts and Sciences teacher preparation program is an evolving structure that will help document growth over time. It promotes self-analysis and critical reflection in ways that help you understand the complexities of the teaching/learning process. Serving as a thread that weaves all parts of the teacher preparation program together, the portfolio helps you integrate knowledge and basic skills from across diverse courses and experiences during your years of study. The portfolio process allows you, as well as your instructors, to visualize the entire conceptual framework of teacher as Professional Educator with all the diverse theoretical and practical activities that shape learning.

From this summative e-portfolio, candidates will create a tailored professional teaching e-portfolio. The professional teaching portfolio offers candidates a means of presenting their professional and personal accomplishments coherently to university supervisors, potential employers, and administrators. Further, it can be used to show school officials why your candidacy is worthy of special notice and gives them the opportunity to view materials beyond those in the resume submitted to a school division as part of an application for employment. Your portfolio design can showcase your strengths and abilities in a way that is both professional and unique.

Collection of Portfolio Artifacts

The first step in e-portfolio preparation is collection. You may want to collect everything related to your work as a teacher. Below is a list of materials to collect. (*) denotes mandatory artifacts that must be included in the ePortfolio:

- Assignment 1: Lesson plans*
- Assignment 2: Pre and post assessments of student learning with evidence of data analysis*
- Assignment 3: Video of candidate instruction*
- Unit plans*
- Class profile* Use the Student Teaching Diversity Report provided on the Teacher Education Resources page located at www.regent.edu/studentteacher. At the end of the internship, you are required to submit this data for both placements via online forms.
- Survey of K-6 students on candidate performance* Copy of survey and table of student results.
- Field experience evaluation forms*
- Samples of student work stemming from lessons you have taught*
- Administrator Evaluations*
- Candidate reflections*

- Curriculum materials
- Samples of peer, student, teacher, and supervisor feedback
- Curriculum maps
- Photographs
- Papers and/or reviews of professional readings
- Letters of commendation and appreciation
- Activities in professional organizations
- Attendance of presentation conferences
- Certificates, addresses, or links to sites you have developed
- Newspaper articles
- Newsletter items
- Honors and awards
- Inspirational or general learning experiences
- Evidence of being a life-long learner

Final Note

Please note that since your e-portfolio is an evolving document, it is beneficial to select items that give evidence of growth and change in your philosophy and understanding of the interrelationships of the coursework and classroom experiences as you have progressed through the program. Since e-portfolio assessment for teachers as professionals is being endorsed at state and national levels in the United States, you will want to continue to develop your e-portfolio as you move through your teaching career.

While the process of developing an e-portfolio may seem like a daunting task at first, the key to making this task manageable is to follow the guidelines provided for developing the e-portfolio. These guidelines will define expectations for each section of your e-portfolio. They have been provided to help you as you begin your e-portfolio preparation and they are required components of your e-portfolio; however, you are encouraged to extend beyond these requirements so that your e-portfolio becomes a document that reflects your creativity and individuality.

E-Portfolio Organization

Samples and additional resources can be found on the UED 496 Canvas site.
Reminders

- Required Electronic Format (Outside web-based system –Weebly)
- Use the following sections to separate your portfolio with sub-sections as needed:
    - I. INTRODUCTION
    - II. PROFESSIONAL PREPARATION
    - III. TEACHING COMPETENCIES
    - IV. APPENDIX
- All items in the e-portfolio should be clearly labeled.
- Make sure to give e-portfolio review access to Director of Student Teaching and instructors.

Section I: E-Portfolio Introduction

References the conceptual framework and discusses growth. Should be well-written.

A. **Title Page**. Should include your name, address (city & state), email address, program name, and degree to which you are working towards. If you are blogging your journal reflections, list the blog address.
B. **Introductory Statement.** Referencing program objectives (page 30) and your own experiences in the program, write a one- to two-page discussion of your growth as a professional.
C. **Student Teaching Diversity Report**. Upload a completed Student Teaching Diversity Report (class profile) for each student teaching placement. The report template is located at www.regent.edu/studentteacher under Student Teaching Forms.

Regent program objectives. Regent students will:

- Identify and effectively implement research-based best teaching practices.
- Exhibit moral and ethical behavior in student, parent, and stakeholder interactions.
- Be knowledgeable of and appropriately apply adolescent growth and development theory and concepts.
- Demonstrate effective use of oral and written communication.
- Demonstrate the effective use of classroom management techniques to foster effective learning environments.
- Integrate student-centered technology into their teachings.
- Identify learning areas of need for student(s) and adjust instruction to meet the need of student(s).

- Apply knowledge of scientific and mathematical concepts taught in the elementary grades to positively impact student academic growth.
- Be familiar with and utilize Virginia Department of Education (VDOE) instructional resources. Demonstrate competency on national assessments (PRAXIS I/II) and Virginia teacher licensure assessments (RVE/VCLA).

Section II: Professional Standards

Provide alignment of portfolio components to national standards. Directions for formatting this section are provided in the UED 496 Field Experience ePortfolio course. Please use standards from the following organizations:

- CAEP Standards
- InTASC Standards
- Specialized Professional Association Standards

Section III: Professional Preparation

Ensure that all copies included are professional in appearance; legible photocopies are acceptable.

A. **Statement of Personal Teaching Philosophy**. Will include a personal statement of philosophy of education, reflect an *evolution of beliefs*, include a statement of goals, and integrate faith in a substantial and meaningful way. You may reference a paper written for a previous course, as a starting point, but this paper must reflect how your beliefs have changed with time and experience. Must be well-written in APA (6th edition) writing style.

B. **Resume**. Will be in an acceptable professional format and include all relevant professional experience in a quality appropriate for job interviews.

C. **Summary Observation Reports and Progress Reports** (Teacher preparation program only). The final ePortfolio will include a *sampling* of observation reports from the cooperating teachers, principals, and Director of Student Teaching.

D. **Licensure Assessment Score Copies*** (Praxis® Subject Area, VCLA, and Praxis® Teaching Reading: Elementary, if applicable).

Section IV: Program Teaching Competencies

In this section, you will upload your **three major assignments**. The three major assignments consist of *candidate-developed* artifacts of teaching competence in three areas: Assessment, Planning, and Instruction. The course professor or university supervisor will guide the candidate toward the successful completion of the portfolio. The faculty will set a timeline for the candidate's submission of the various portfolio components outlined below so that the faculty member can provide formative feedback on strengths and areas for improvement. The candidate will use faculty feedback to refine their artifacts before submitting the final portfolio in its entirety for grading at the end of the course term. Each teacher candidate enrolled in a

bachelor's or master's degree program that leads to initial teacher licensure will complete the portfolio by a specified due date at the end of the course. Portfolio results provide supporting data for course- and program-level decision-making and state and national accreditation. Students should refer to the *Initial Licensure Portfolio Grading Rubric* for evaluation criteria and alignment to state and national teaching standards.

Teacher candidates will complete three major assignments during student teaching. Assignment One focuses on your ability to design five (5) lesson plans that document your ability to design instruction to meet student learning goals. Assignment Two focuses on your ability to design, give, and analyze student data to making instructional decisions through differentiation of lesson plans. For Assignment Three, you will submit a 30-minute teaching video accompanied by the lesson plan for the video. For those lesson plans and the data assignment, the teaching candidate will submit one reflection (1+ pages) *per lesson plan* that substantially addresses how the candidate's faith, teaching philosophy, and data influenced instructional planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for diverse learner needs. (Relevant to each competency). The paper is to be written in APA (6th edition) writing style. A template and student exemplars for the paper are provided in Canvas.

Assignment #1 - Assessment: Data Collection Assignment

Part One: (Subject/Grade, Number of Students, SOLs, Pre-Assessment Description)

In Part One, you will write a summary of the class in which the assessment project was completed.
- What placement did this take place?
- Describe the make-up of the class.
- Describe the unit or lesson this pre-assessment was designed to provide data to be used.
- Describe the SOL's the assessment was designed to measure and describe how the assessment task is aligned to the SOL's.
- After scoring the assessment item, describe what the data says about what students know and are able to do. Include a blank copy of the assessment.

Part Two: (Description of 4 Activities, including student work samples)
Describe how you used the data to differentiate instruction to meet student learning needs as indicated by the preassessment data.

Your description should include at least 4 lessons/activities. Include student work samples. Make sure your description is detailed enough the reader will know what you did and what students did during each activity. As you are developing your description of each lesson and the activities, use the following questions to guide you:

- What activities did you create and how do they align with what the data indicated students needed?

- How did you use whole group instruction and flexible group instruction based on the assessment data?
- In your description, describe the classroom lessons before, during, and after where differentiation of instruction took place.

Part Three: (Post-Assessment description with graphs (6 per student, Pre, and Post-test graph, one for each activity) and reflective summary (few paragraphs) including data discussion, what went well, future decision-making)

To complete Part 3, describe the post assessment in detail and provide a clean copy. As with the preassessment, be sure to show how this assessment was aligned to the teaching standards.
- Create a chart that shows a comparison of pre-post test data for students showing how their data changed or did not change. Include a robust discussion of any changes in student growth of understanding along with areas of needed remediation.
- Discuss what you would change or modify should you teach this subject/lesson again.

Assignment #2 - Planning: Lesson Plan Collection Assignment

The candidate will submit five lesson plans for evaluation. Lesson plans will demonstrate the elements below.

- *Content knowledge in interdisciplinary curriculum* (standard-based instruction). Will provide evidence of strong content knowledge of standards-based instruction by integrating two or more content areas (i.e., science and math, English and social studies) in at least one of the high-quality lessons.

- *Student-centered and differentiated instruction.* Will demonstrate support for learners of many styles and needs, careful thought in lesson planning, and provide evidence of differentiation.

- *Student IEP or ELL needs*. Will address special needs and English language learners if the assigned student population mandates this need.

- *Developmentally appropriate instruction*. Will demonstrate evidence of hands-on, developmentally appropriate teaching techniques.

- *Culturally responsive teaching.* Will demonstrate consideration for students' various cultures and experiences in lesson materials or activities.

- *Integration of technology and media resources for instruction*, classroom organization, and student learning. Will provide evidence of *student-centered* integration of technology and media resources to foster student growth and understanding. Will demonstrate that instruction includes high-quality use of these elements.

- *Classroom and behavior management*. Will provide evidence that classroom and behavior management strategies support a positive learning environment.

The candidate will write one reflection (1+ pages) *per lesson plan* that substantially addresses how the candidate's faith, teaching philosophy, and data influenced instructional planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for diverse learner needs.

Assignment #3 - Instruction: Teaching Video Assignment

The candidate will provide a teaching video of a continuous 30-minute teaching session of one of the five lessons submitted in the lesson planning assignment. The candidate will upload the UNEDITED video to YouTube on an unlisted setting and submit the link (URL) for the YouTube video as part of the final portfolio.

Ensure that all artifacts are clearly labeled to correspond to the appropriate reference in Section IV.

Please see Appendix N for the Grading Rubric for the ePortfolio.

Phone Numbers and Email Addresses

Following is an alphabetical list of phone numbers and email addresses that may be helpful.

Contact	Title	Phone	E-Mail
Dr. Josh McMullen	Dean, College of Arts and Sciences	757-352-4500	jmcmullen@regent.edu
Dr. Cheryl Gould	Teacher Education Department Chair	757-352-4029	chergou@regent.edu
Dr. Jenny Sue Flannagan	Director of Student Teaching	757-352-4123	jennfla@regent.edu
Mrs. Brenda Vaughan	Coordinator of Field Placements for Licensure Programs	757.352.5498	bvaughan@regent.edu

Appendix

A: Crisis Response Procedure

To assist school divisions in promulgating safe school cultures and climates, Regent University Teacher Education faculty imparts safe school best practices to all teacher candidates. Teacher Education faculty and staff developed crisis response protocols in the event of an emergency or crisis at a school where a teacher candidate is placed.

What is a school crisis? A circumstance or event that is a surprise to school personnel and evokes a sense of threat.

Who determines an event as a crisis? Participating school division personnel and/or Regent University faculty and administration.

Immediately following a crisis event, faculty will (in cooperation with school division personnel):

1. Immediately ascertain the effect of the crisis (proximity, intensity, relation, etc.) on the Student Teacher.
    a. Interview the teacher candidate (academic advisor and chair).
    b. Refer the teacher candidate to Regent University Psychological Services.
    c. Consider recommendations from school personnel.

2. The Regent Teacher Education Chair with take one of three actions contingent on the outcome of the effect of the crisis on the teacher candidate:
    a. Remove the teacher candidate from the current school assignment and assign a different school.
    b. Remove the teacher candidate from the current Cooperating Teacher and assign a different Cooperating Teacher in the same school.
    c. Remove the teacher candidate from the Student Teaching Internship without penalty.

*All Regent University Student Teachers are required to meet the minimum number student teaching hours as outlined in the CAS Student Teaching Handbook.

B: Online Forms

Available on the Teacher Education Resources web page at **www.regent.edu/studentteacher**

Teacher Candidate Forms

Below is an overview of forms the student teacher will complete during the semester. The Director of Student Teaching will guide candidates, via Canvas weekly content folders, as to timelines for completing forms. Forms to be completed by the teacher candidate prior to the internship:

- Student Teaching Internship Application **(deadlines apply)**
- Teacher Candidate Personal Data. To be completed in first week of semester.

Form to be completed by the teacher candidate *during* each internship placement:

- Student Teaching Diversity Report

Forms to be completed by the teacher candidate at the end of *each* internship placement:

- Cooperating Teacher Evaluation from the Teacher Candidate's Perspective

Forms to be completed by the teacher candidate at the end of the semester:

- Director of Student Teaching Evaluation from the Teacher Candidate's Perspective
- Teacher Education Program Exit Conference Questionnaire
- Christian Leadership Survey for Teacher Education Undergraduate Students. Required of all graduating students.
- Professionalism and Christ-Like Demeanor Survey
- Student Teacher Self-Evaluation using the Initial Licensure Field Evaluation

Cooperating Teacher Forms

Forms to be completed by the cooperating teacher during the internship:

- Bi-weekly Evaluation of Student Teacher by Cooperating Teacher**.** Completed at the end of weeks 2 and 6 of *each* internship placement.
- Regent University Initial Licensure Field Evaluation. Completed at mid-term (week 4) and end of each placement.

Forms to be completed by the cooperating teacher at the *end* of the internship placement:

- Cooperating Teacher Feedback – Provides Regent University feedback for program decision-making and improvement.
- Cooperating Teacher Stipend Form – Required in order for the cooperating teacher to receive the Regent University allotted stipend for service.

School Administrator Forms

Forms to be completed by the school administrator at each placement, ideally between weeks five and six of the internship placement:

- Regent University CAS Student Teacher Evaluation by School Administrator. To be completed following a classroom observation.

Director of Student Teachings Forms

Form to be completed by the Director of Student Teaching or designated university supervisor following each teacher candidate observation and conference.

- Report of Observation and Conference by Director of Student Teaching. Allows the Director of Student Teaching to record observation notes for conferences.

Form to be completed by the Director of Student Teaching or designated university supervisor during the internship placement:

- Regent University Initial Licensure Field Evaluation. Completed at mid-term and end of each placement as a self-evaluation and comparison to evaluator scores.

Forms to be completed by the Director of Student Teaching or designated university supervisor at the end of the internship semester:

- Director of Student Teaching Portfolio Evaluation Rubric. See form at https://www.regent.edu/college-of-arts-and-sciences/departments/teacher-education/teacher-education-resources/#teaching-clinical-practice-forms--materials/director-of-student-teaching-form

C: Teacher Candidate Personal Data Form

For reference only. Information to be submitted online at www.regent.edu/studentteacher

Name_____Date _____

Address _____

Home Phone No._____Emergency Phone No._____

Email address_____(Cell) _____

Regent University Program of Study _____

****

This section is for graduate students only:

Undergraduate College Major_____Minor _____

College/University _____

Degree Received_____Date Conferred _____

****

Awards, Achievement, Extra-curricular Activities That Have Contributed to Your Preparation for Teaching:

_____

_____

Relevant Work Experience During the Last Five Years:

_____

_____

Brief Summary of Professional Goals:

_____

_____

List Any Special Hobbies, Talents, Interests:

_____

D: Student Teacher Self-Evaluation of Dispositions

For reference only. Information to be submitted online at www.regent.edu/studentteacher

Teacher Candidate's Name:_____ Dates of Placement:_____

Cooperating Teacher's Name:_____ School: _____

Supervisor:_____ Course/Semester:_____

Complete the evaluation of your field. Specifically, one form must be completed after each placement location. The intent of this evaluation is to serve both as self-examination of your growth and also as means of helping faculty members improve the teacher preparation program at Regent University. Return your completed evaluation form to your Director of Student Teaching.

During this field experience, my performance in each of the following areas was …	Below Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Punctuality			
Dependability			
Organization and Preparation			
Communication Skills			
Appearance and Dress			
Effort and Initiative			
Cooperativeness and Flexibility			
Enthusiasm			
Attention to Student Safety			
Contribution to a Positive Learning Environment			
Rapport with Students			
Rapport with Parents and Other Community Members			
Rapport with Faculty and Other School Personnel			
Professional Demeanor			
Reflection upon Practice			
Potential for Leadership			

Based on your experiences and coursework to date, please provide a written evaluation of your strengths, weaknesses and development in becoming an effective teacher. (Attach a separate sheet).

E: Cooperating Teacher Evaluation

(Teacher Candidate's Perspective)
For reference only. Information to be submitted online at www.regent.edu/studentteacher

Cooperating Teacher's Name_____Semester/Year_____

Teacher Candidate's Name _____

Please indicate to what degree your cooperating teacher performed the listed activities by circling the appropriate number using the following scale:

	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable	Not Observed
I. SUPPORT/COMMUNINCATION					
Acquainted you with the school, staff, students, teachers, parents, and community	4	3	2	1	0
Oriented you to classroom rules, organization, and management	4	3	2	1	0
Supported you by providing a strong professional relationship with you the teacher candidate	4	3	2	1	0
II. INSTRUCTIONAL GUIDE					
Provided a positive learning environment	4	3	2	1	0
Provided a desk or work place, necessary instructional materials resources, supplies, and equipment	4	3	2	1	0
Guided you with initial lesson plans and material development	4	3	2	1	0
Modeled how to maintain grades, lesson plans, and assess students	4	3	2	1	0
Acquainted you with routine tasks	4	3	2	1	0
III. PROFESSIONAL GROWTH					
Provided opportunities for observation/participation in related school events	4	3	2	1	0
IV. SUPERVISION/ ASSESSMENT					
Analyzed and critiqued teaching technique regularly	4	3	2	1	0
Provided continuous support, conferences, and feedback (written and verbal)	4	3	2	1	0
Identified specific areas of strength and weakness	4	3	2	1	0

Comments:

_____

_____

_____

REGENT UNIVERSITY | College of Arts & Sciences

F: Director of Student Teaching Evaluation

(Teacher Candidate's Perspective)
For reference only. Information to be submitted online at www.regent.edu/studentteacher

Name of Director of Student Teaching_____ Semester/Year_____

Teacher Candidate's Name _____

Please indicate to what degree your Director of Student Teaching performed the listed activities by circling the appropriate number using the following scale:

	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable	Not Observed
I. SUPPORT/COMMUNINCATION					
Advised you of the requirements at the beginning of the semester	4	3	2	1	0
Was accessible by phone and/or email	4	3	2	1	0
Agreed to visit you when assistance was needed	4	3	2	1	0
Derived a workable solution if difficulties occurred	4	3	2	1	0
Provided relevant information through seminars, workshops, counseling, etc.	4	3	2	1	0
Reviewed communications and provided feedback when needed	4	3	2	1	0
Discussed development and implementation of lesson plans	4	3	2	1	0
Reviewed your portfolio and provided feedback on a regular basis	4	3	2	1	0
II. SUPERVISION/ASSESSMENT					
Coordinated visit dates/times with cooperating teacher	4	3	2	1	0
Spent ample time (45-60 minutes) for observation/assessment	4	3	2	1	0
Analyzed and critiqued teaching technique regularly	4	3	2	1	0
Helped you to identify specific areas of strength and weakness	4	3	2	1	0
Previewed and discussed the purposes of each evaluation form					
Reviewed with you the results of each evaluation	4	3	2	1	0
Conducted all conferences in a positive and constructive manner	4	3	2	1	0
Conducted at least one three-way conference with student teach and cooperating teacher	4	3	2	1	0

Number of observations (face-to-face and virtual) per placement: _____

Comments:_____

REGENT UNIVERSITY | **College of Arts & Sciences**

G: Student Teaching Log Sheet

	Start Date	End Date	Direct Teaching Contact Hours (300 minimum)	Non-Student Contact Hours	Total	Cooperating Teacher's Signature
Directions: Round hours to the nearest half hour. Enter .50 for 30 minutes (i.e., 4.5 hours or 4.0 hours). Before final submission, check your math to ensure all figures and totals are accurate. In addition to weekly signatures, the cooperating teacher must initial all white-outs or changes next to the change.						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Week of						
Enter Totals						

Teacher Candidate _____   _____
                          (Print First and Last Name)   (Semester/Year)
#1 Cooperating Teacher _____   _____
                          (Print First and Last Name)   (Semester/Year)
#1 Cooperating Teacher _____   _____
                          (Signature)   (Date)
#2 Cooperating Teacher _____   _____
                          (Print First and Last Name)   (Semester/Year)
#2 Cooperating Teacher _____   _____
                          (Signature)   (Date)
Teacher Candidate _____   _____
                          (Signature)   (Date)

REGENT UNIVERSITY | College of Arts & Sciences

H: Lesson Plan Template

For reference only. Downloadable MS Word format available online at www.regent.edu/studentteacher

Level II - Teacher Ed Lesson Plan Template (UED Courses)	
Student Teacher:	**Date:**
Title of Lesson:	**Cooperating Teacher:**

Core Components
Subject, Content Area, or Topic
Student Population
Learning Objectives
Virginia Essential Knowledge and Skills
VDOE Technology Standards Addressed   **English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS) Addressed**
Materials/Resources

High Yield Instructional Strategies Used (Marzano, 2001)

Check if Used	Strategy	Return
	Identifying Similarities & Differences	45%
	Summarizing & Note Taking	34%
	Reinforcing Efforts & Providing Recognition	29%
	Homework & Practice	28%
	Nonlinguistic Representations	27%
	Cooperative Learning	23%
	Setting Goals & Providing Feedback	23%
	Generating & Testing Hypothesis	23%
	Questions, Cues, & Advanced Organizers	22%

DOES YOUR INSTRUCTIONAL INPUT & MODELING YIELD THE POSITIVE RETURNS YOU WANT FOR YOUR STUDENTS?

Check if Used	Strategy	Return
	Teach Others/Immediate Use of Learning	95%
	Practice by Doing	75%
	Discussion	50%
	Demonstration	30%
	Audio Visual	20%
	Reading	10%
	Lecture	05%

Time (min.)	Process Components
	*Anticipatory Set
	*State the Objectives (grade-level terms)
	*Instructional Input or Procedure
	*Modeling
	*Check for Understanding
	*Guided Practice
	*Independent Practice
	Assessment
	*Closure
Differentiation Strategies (enrichment, accommodations, remediation, or by learning style).	
Classroom Management/Safety Issues	
Lesson Critique. To be completed following the lesson. Did your students meet the objective(s)? What part of the lesson would you change? Why?	

*Denotes Madeline Hunter lesson plan elements. McDonald's Draft (2010). Modified by Kreassig and Gould (2014) for use with student teachers.

_____   _____   _____
Intern Signature   **Cooperating Teacher**   **Date**
                                        Signature

I: Bi-Weekly Evaluation of Student Teacher by Cooperating Teacher (Formative)

For reference only. Evaluation is submitted online at www.regent.edu/studentteacher

The cooperating teacher assesses student performance on an ongoing basis in regard to professionalism and five components of teaching. The cooperating teacher also provides open-ended comments designed to provide high-quality feedback to the student teacher toward professional growth.

The cooperating teacher assesses student teacher professional qualities based on the following rating choices:

- •Superior work. Advanced beyond what is expected of teacher candidates.
- •Satisfactory work. Advancing nicely. No suggestions noted in this particular area.
- •Improvement needed. Suggestions of CT should be implemented.
- •Weakness in performance noted. Suggestions of CT and DST should be practiced to ensure successful results.
- •Significant weakness exists. Conference requested with ST, CT, and DST.
- •Not applicable. ST not required to have demonstrated this skill at this time.

Professional Qualities. The cooperating teacher assesses student performance on eight items related to professionalism and determines the degree to which the teacher maintains a commitment to professional ethics, communicates effectively, and takes responsibility for and participates in professional growth that results in enhanced student learning (VDOE Standard 6).

- *Reliability/Dependability*. The student teacher has exemplary attendance, gets to work on time, and perceives the need to attend to all assigned duties and tasks immediately.
- *Oral Expression.* The student teacher is articulate, demonstrates proper use of grammar, and is expressive and animated as appropriate.
- *Judgement and Tact*. The student teacher maintains good relationships by being sensitive to other's feelings, opinions, and needs for safety and responds accordingly.
- *Written Communication*. The student teacher's written communication is organized, clear, and free from grammatical errors.
- *Self-initiative/Independence*. The student teacher demonstrates initiative by (a) sharing ideas, (b) being creative and resourceful, and (c) working effectively with limited supervision, and (d) independently implementing plans.
- *Collegiality.* The student teacher works well with other faculty and staff as part of a team, willingly shares ideas and materials, and actively seeks opportunities to work with school personnel.
- *Interaction with Students*. The student teacher actively seeks opportunities to work with students and accepts responsibility for student learning.

- *Response to Feedback*. The student teacher not only is receptive and responsive to feedback but also solicits suggestions and feedback from others.

Components of Teaching. Next, the cooperating teacher considers five components of teaching and selects two areas from each component that represent the student teacher's instructional strengths as well as two areas that the student teacher should focus on for the following week.

Professional Knowledge
- Presents accurate and current content.
- Assesses prior knowledge.
- Uses high-quality questioning techniques.
- Provides developmentally appropriate experiences.
- Bases practical work on research based best practices.

Instructional Planning
- Plans have objective(s) aligned with the appropriate SOL.
- Plans have procedures for assessing student progress.
- Plans differentiate instruction as appropriate.
- Plans are given to CT in advance for review/approval.
- Materials are appropriate and ready for instruction.

Instructional Delivery
- Implements lesson elements, as planned.
- Actively engages students throughout the lesson.
- Uses instructional time effectively.
- Uses a variety of instructional strategies.
- Effectively incorporates student-centered technology.

Assessment of and for Student Learning & Student Academic Progress
- Provides ongoing, constructive feedback to students.
- Collects & assesses student work. Links to instruction.
- Uses a variety of informal and formal assessments.
- Student work samples, quizzes, and tests provide evidence of learning.
- Overall, achievement data reflects student learning.

Learning Environment
- Sets and reinforces behavior expectations.
- Establishes routines and procedures to mitigate issues.
- Quickly redirects or intervenes when necessary.
- Deals calmly and effectively with disruptions.
- Students demonstrate positive social interactions w/peers & personnel.

Finally, cooperating teacher notes specific areas in which the student teacher excelled during the week and areas that require the student teacher's corrective action. The cooperating teacher also provides specific examples of excellence and specific suggestions of how the student teacher may strengthen the areas for improvement.

J: Description of The Regent University Initial Licensure Field Evaluation

For reference only. Evaluation is submitted online at www.regent.edu/studentteacher

Student teachers are evaluated at the mid-term and end of each placement. Evaluators rate student teachers on 46 items related to eight professional standards. Evaluators rate teacher candidates, using the scoring rubric outlined below, on the degree to which the student teacher has demonstrated each standard.

Scoring Rubric

Highly Effective - Demonstrates the standard in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Role model for others.

Effective - Consistently demonstrates the standard with adequate progress as a pre-service teacher.

Approaching Effective - Inconsistently demonstrates the standard and requires growth to become effective.

Ineffective - Rarely meets the standard and requires focused and substantial growth to become effective.

Evaluation Items

Standard One: Professional Knowledge: The teacher demonstrates an understanding of the curriculum, subject content, and the developmental needs of students by providing relevant learning experiences.

- Addresses relevant curriculum standards.
- Integrates key content elements and facilitates students' use of higher-level thinking skills in instruction.

- Demonstrates an ability to link present content with past and future learning experiences, other subject areas, and real-world experiences and applications.
- Demonstrates an accurate, current, and specific knowledge of the subject matter and a working knowledge of relevant technology.
- Bases instruction on goals that reflect high expectations for all students commensurate with their developmental levels.
- Demonstrates an understanding of appropriate accommodations for diverse learners and students learning in unique contexts (e.g., English learners, gifted learners, students with special needs, etc.).
- Uses content-specific language, correct vocabulary and grammar, and acceptable forms of communication as they relate to a specific discipline and/or grade level. subject areas and real-world applications.

Standard Two: Instructional Planning. The teacher plans using the Virginia Standards of Learning, the school's curriculum, effective strategies, resources, and data to meet the needs of all students.

- Analyzes and uses multiple sources of student learning data to guide planning.
- Plans accordingly for pacing, sequencing, content mastery, transitions, and application of knowledge.
- Consistently plans for differentiated instruction.
- Aligns lesson objectives to the school's curriculum and student learning needs.
- Standard Three: Instructional Delivery. The teacher effectively engages students in learning by using a variety of instructional strategies in order to meet individual learning needs.

- Builds upon students' existing knowledge and skills.
- Differentiates the instructional content, process, product, and learning environment to meet individual developmental needs.
- Motivates students for learning, reinforces learning goals consistently throughout the lesson, and provides appropriate closure.
- Develops higher-order thinking through questioning and problem-solving activities.
- Uses a variety of appropriate instructional strategies and resources to encourage active student engagement.
- Uses appropriate instructional technology to enhance student learning in the classroom or in a virtual setting.
- Communicates clearly, checks for understanding using multiple levels of questioning, and adjusts instruction accordingly.

Standard Four: Assessment of and for Student Learning. The teacher systematically gathers, analyzes, and uses all relevant data to measure student academic progress, guide instructional content and delivery methods, and provide timely feedback to both students and parents throughout the school year.

- Uses pre-assessment data to develop expectations for students, to differentiate instruction, and to document learning.
- Uses a variety of formal and informal assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content, for the student population, and for the setting (e.g., in person or virtual).
- Aligns student assessment with established curriculum standards and benchmarks. Uses assessment tools for both formative and summative purposes to inform, guide, and adjust students' learning and supports.
- Collects and maintains a record of sufficient assessment data to support accurate reporting of student progress.
- Communicates constructive and frequent feedback on student learning to students, parents/caregivers, and other educators, as appropriate.

Standard Five: Learning Environment. The teacher uses resources, routines, and procedures to provide a respectful, positive, safe, student-centered environment that is conducive to learning.

- Establishes clear expectations, with student input, for classroom rules and procedures early in the school year and enforces them consistently and fairly.
- Maximizes instructional time and minimizes disruptions.
- Establishes a climate of trust and teamwork by being fair, caring, respectful, and enthusiastic.
- Encourages student engagement, inquiry, and intellectual risk taking. Promotes respectful interactions and an understanding of students' diversity, such as language, culture, race, gender, and special needs.
- Actively listens and makes accommodations for all students' needs, including social, emotional, behavioral, and intellectual.
- Addresses student needs by working with students individually as well as in small groups or whole groups.
- Promotes an environment − whether in person or virtual − that is academically appropriate, stimulating, and challenging.

Standard Six: Culturally Responsive Teaching and Equitable Practices. The teacher demonstrates a commitment to equity and provides instruction and classroom strategies that result in culturally inclusive and responsive learning environments and achievement for all students (including for gender, race, ethnicity, English Language Learners, and students with disabilities).

- Fosters classroom environments that create opportunities for access and achievement by acknowledging, valuing, advocating, and affirming cultural and social diversity in all aspects of the learning process, including for gender, race, ethnicity, English Language Learners, and students with disabilities.
- Builds meaningful relationships with all students anchored in affirmation, mutual respect and validation utilizing culturally responsive teaching practices, and by modeling high expectations for all students.
- Analyzes, selects, and integrates texts, materials, and classroom resources that reflect cultural inclusivity and the needs of all students, including for gender, race, ethnicity, English Language Learners, and students with disabilities.
- Uses communication strategies that are inclusive of the language, dialects, cultural, social and literacy needs of all students (including gender, race, ethnicity, English Language Learners, and students with disabilities)

Standard Seven: Professionalism. The teacher maintains a commitment to professional ethics, communicates effectively, and takes responsibility for and participates in professional growth that results in enhanced student learning.

- Adheres to federal and state laws, school and division policies, ethical guidelines, and procedural requirements.

- Maintains positive professional behavior (e.g., appearance, demeanor, punctuality, and attendance).
- Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with administrators, other school personnel, and the community to promote students' wellbeing, progress, and success.
- Builds positive and professional relationships with parents/caregivers through frequent and appropriate communication concerning students' progress.
- Serves as a contributing member of the school's professional learning community through collaboration with teaching colleagues and staff.

Standard Eight: Student Academic Progress. The work of the teacher results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress.

- Uses precise language, correct vocabulary and grammar, and acceptable forms of oral and written communication.
- Sets acceptable, measurable, and appropriate achievement goals for student learning progress based on baseline data.
- Documents the progress of each student throughout the year.
- Provides evidence that achievement goals have been met, including the state-provided progress data when available as well as other multiple measures of student academic progress.
- Uses available performance outcome data to continually document and communicate student academic progress and develop interim learning targets.

References:

Stronge, J. H. (2010). Evaluating what good teachers do: Eight research-based standards for assessing teacher excellence. Larchmont, NY: Eye of Education.

Virginia Department of Education. (2011). Guidelines for uniform performance standards and evaluation criteria for teachers. Richmond, VA: Author.

K: Director of Student Teaching Observation of Teacher Candidate

Teacher Candidate_____   _____   _____
                    (First and Last Name)   (Date of Visit)   (Time of Visit)

Cooperating Teacher_____   _____   _____
                    (First and Last Name)   (School)   (Grade)

Next Visit:_____   _____
              (Date of Visit)   (Time of Visit)

Lesson Plan Comments

_____

_____

_____

Observations

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Went Well:	**Do Differently:**

Strengths:	**Refinement:**

Focus for next observation: _____

_____

_____

Teacher Candidate Signature/Date_____

Director of Student Teaching Signature/Date _____

L: Agenda for Student Teaching Seminars

Meeting (2 hours)	Course Content	Assignments Due Dates/ Notes
Meeting 1	**Day 1: Director of Student Teaching** (120 minutes): Orientation to Student Teaching. Topics include: • Introductions • Forms, procedures, and evaluations • ePortfolio requirements **Day 2: Lesson Planning for Success during Student Teaching** (120 minutes): Topics include: • Expectations for Lesson Plans • Documenting instructional and cooperative learning strategies and assessment tools • Documenting use of technology tools • Evaluating lesson plans using Regent's Infield Licensure Evaluation Instrument **Day 3: Differentiation during Student Teaching** (120 minutes): Topics include: • Differentiation in the Classroom • Developing your pre-assessment tool • Analyzing data • Developing tiered tasks using tools such as Think Dots, Raft • Evaluating differentiation using Regent's Infield Licensure Evaluation Instrument **Day 4: Instructional Strategies and Technology during Student Teaching** (120 minutes): Topics include: • Preparing your teaching video • Evaluating classroom lessons using Regent's Infield Licensure Evaluation Instrument	Assignments for the student teaching experience are outlined in the Student Teaching Handbook and will be reviewed during our first meeting.
Meeting 2	**Professional Development Topic** (60 minutes) **Director of Student Teaching** (30 minutes): (1) Discuss student teaching issues as identified during observations, (2) Review questions on the e-portfolio, (3) Review other questions and concerns. **Student-to-Student-** (30 Minutes): Sharing student teaching experiences.	
Meeting 3	**Professional Development Topic** (60 minutes) **Director of Student Teaching** (30 minutes): (1) Discuss student teaching issues as identified during observations, (2) Review questions on the e-portfolio, (3) Review other questions and concerns.	

Meeting (2 hours)	Course Content	Assignments Due Dates/ Notes
	Student-to-Student- (30 Minutes): Sharing student teaching experiences.	
Meeting 4	**Professional Development Topic** (60 minutes): Applying for Licensure. **Director of Student Teaching** (20 minutes): (1) Discuss student teaching issues as identified during observations, (2) Review questions on the e-portfolio, (3) Review other questions and concerns. **Student-to-Student** (40 Minutes): Sharing our wisdom	
Meeting 5	**Meeting 5 (1 day/approx. 45 min per student)** **ePortfolio Presentations and exit conference** – Individuals meet with a faculty committee. 15 min. ePortfolio presentation followed by faculty questions related to specific ePortfolio and Teacher Education program elements.	

M: Professionalism and Christ-Like Demeanor Survey

The university supervisor rates teacher candidates' professionalism and Christ-like demeanor as demonstrated throughout the Internship using the following scoring rubric below, on the degree to which the student teacher has demonstrated each standard:

Scoring Rubric

Highly Effective - Demonstrates the standard in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Role model for others.

Effective -   Consistently demonstrates the standard with adequate progress as a pre-service teacher.

Approaching Effective - Inconsistently demonstrates the standard and requires growth to become effective.

Ineffective -   Rarely meets the standard and requires focused and substantial growth to become effective.

Standards:

Use of Discretion
Throughout this course, the student exhibited sound judgment and tact, being mindful of confidentiality and how spoken words and personal actions affect the outcome of situations and the response of others (Romans 14:19, Proverbs 3:21). (CAEP R1.4 Professional Responsibility; InTASC Standards Dispositions for 9 (o), VDOE 7)

Attitude of Compliance
During this course, the student displayed respect for authority and a willingness to accept directions from assigned mentors, teachers, or supervisors, doing so with an amenable attitude (Hebrews 13:17, Philippians 2:5). (CAEP R1.4 Professional Responsibility, InTASC Standards Dispositions for 10(p), VDOE 7)

Workmanship
The student exhibited diligence, perseverance, attentiveness, punctuality, and decisiveness while completing the necessary work for this course (Romans 15:17, Colossians 3:23). (CAEP R1.4 Professional Responsibility, InTASC Standards Dispositions for 10 (t), VDOE 7)

Attitude of Integrity
Throughout this course, the student demonstrated integrity by adhering to the policies and procedures of the University, being honest, trustworthy, and dependable in words and in conduct (Hebrews 13:17, 1 Thessalonians 5:21). (CAEP R1.4 Professional Responsibility, InTASC Standards Dispositions for 9(o), VDOE 7)

Teacher Disposition

For the duration of this course, the student's mannerisms, communication, and interactions with others demonstrated attributes that represent the Fruits of the Spirit- love, joy, peace, patience, kindness, goodness, faithfulness, and self- control (Galatians 5:22-23). (CAEP R1.4 Professional Responsibility, InTASC Standards Dispositions for10(s), VDOE 7)

Attitude of Service

The student demonstrated initiative by communicating ideas, being creative and resourceful, and working effectively with limited supervision to serve others well (Philippians 2:4, Colossians 3:23-24). (CAEP R1.4 Professional Responsibility, InTASC Standards Dispositions for 9(1), 10 (r, t,); VDOE 7)

Attitude of Collegiality

The student worked well with others, as part of a team, willingly sharing information and materials, and actively seeking opportunities to work with school personnel (Proverbs 27:17, Ecclesiastes 4:9-12). (CAEP R1.4 Professional Responsibility; , InTASC Standards Dispositions for 9(n), 10 (r, s); VDOE 7)

Use of Communication

Throughout this course, the student's oral and written communication was articulate, clear, and demonstrated proper use of grammar (Ephesians 4:29, Colossians 4:6, Regent's QEP). (CAEP R1.4 Professional Responsibility, InTASC Standards Dispositions for 9(m), 10 (s); VDOE 7)

Attitude of Impartiality

Throughout this course, the student modeled and promoted respect for those with differing backgrounds, abilities, experiences, religions, and viewpoints and challenged injustice and oppression in all its forms (Micah 6:8, James 2:1-26). (CAEP R1.1 The Learner and Learning, InTASC Standards Dispositions for 9(m), 10 (q,s); VDOE 7)

https://ccsso.org/sites/default/files/2017-12/2013_INTASC_Learning_Progressions_for_Teachers.pdf

![Regent University College of Arts & Sciences logo]

N: ePortfolio Grading Rubric

1. Data Collection Assignment

<u>Component Description</u>

The candidate will present a data collection demonstrating their proficiency using student academic data to plan for differentiation and to evaluate student growth.

1. An Introduction provides context, including the subject taught, number of participating students, the state standards associated with the data collection, and a written description and a copy of the pre-assessment tool.

2. An Instructional Learning Activities section includes a description of four learning activities used to teach the concepts after collecting pre-assessment data, along with student work samples resulting from each learning activity.

3. Post-Assessment Findings include a written description of the post-assessment tool, one graph with pre- assessment results, four graphs showing student data from each learning activity, one graph with post- assessment results, and a reflective summary discussing instructional successes and actions for instructional decision-making.

<u>Scoring Rubric</u>

Highly Effective - Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Excellent use of data to improve teaching and learning.

Effective - Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to improve teaching and learning.

Approaching Effective – Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning.

Ineffective – Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in the use of data to improve teaching and learning.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Approaching Effective	Ineffective
Class description for data project includes diversity demographics. (CAEP R1.3, InTASC 6, VDOE 4,8)	Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Excellent use of data to improve	Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to improve teaching and learning.	Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the	Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in the use of data to

	teaching and learning.		effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning. although the assignment parts are either not completed or are missing specific components of the data collection process.	improve teaching and learning.
Describes alignment between the pre-assessment and the SOL's for the instructional unit. (CAEP R1.3, InTASC 6, VDOE 4,8)	Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Excellent use of data to improve teaching and learning.	Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to improve teaching and learning.	Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning. although the assignment parts are either not completed or are missing specific components of the data collection process.	Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in the use of data to improve teaching and learning.
Describes results of pre-assessment, identifying student strengths and deficits. Provides a copy of the assessment. (CAEP R1.3, InTASC 6, VDOE 4)	Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Excellent use of data to improve teaching and learning.	Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to improve teaching and learning.	Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning. although the assignment parts are either not completed or are missing specific components of the data collection process.	Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in the use of data to improve teaching and learning.

Describes how pre-assessment data were used to differentiate student learning. (CAEP R1.4, InTASC 9, VDOE 7)	Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Excellent use of data to improve teaching and learning.	Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to improve teaching and learning.	Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning. although the assignment parts are either not completed or are missing specific components of the data collection process.	Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in the use of data to improve teaching and learning.
Describes how data were used to modify activities to meet students' learning needs. (CAEP R1.3, InTASC 6, VDOE 4)	Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Excellent use of data to improve teaching and learning.	Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to improve teaching and learning.	Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning. although the assignment parts are either not completed or are missing specific components of the data collection process.	Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in the use of data to improve teaching and learning.
Describes sequence of learning activities prior to and after the differentiated lesson. Provided student work samples. (CAEP R1.3, InTASC 6, VDOE 4)	Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Excellent use of data to improve teaching and learning.	Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to improve teaching and learning.	Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning. although the	Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in the use of data to improve teaching and learning.

			assignment parts are either not completed or are missing specific components of the data collection process.	
Post-assessment data show areas of student growth and/or deficits. (CAEP R1.4, InTASC 9, VDOE 7)	Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Excellent use of data to improve teaching and learning.	Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to improve teaching and learning.	Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning. although the assignment parts are either not completed or are missing specific components of the data collection process.	Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in the use of data to improve teaching and learning.
Includes charts showing pre-assessment, post-assessment, and comparison data for all students. (CAEP R1.4, InTASC 9, VDOE 7)	Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Excellent use of data to improve teaching and learning.	Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to improve teaching and learning.	Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning. although the assignment parts are either not completed or are missing specific components of the data collection process.	Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in the use of data to improve teaching and learning.
Describes candidate's thoughts on the impact of instructional activities on student learning, as shown in post-assessment data.	Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way.	Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to	Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further	Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial

[impact can be positive, neutral, or negative] (CAEP R1.4, InTASC 9, VDOE 7)	Excellent use of data to improve teaching and learning.	improve teaching and learning.	professional development may increase the effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning. although the assignment parts are either not completed or are missing specific components of the data collection process.	professional growth to become effective in the use of data to improve teaching and learning.

Assignment #2 Lesson Plans

The candidate will 1 of 5 lesson plans for evaluation. Lesson plans will demonstrate the elements below:

- Content knowledge in interdisciplinary curriculum (standard-based instruction). Will provide evidence of strong content knowledge of standards-based instruction by integrating two or more content areas (i.e., science and math, English and social studies) in at least one of the high-quality lessons.
- Student-centered and differentiated instruction. Will demonstrate support for learners of many styles and needs, careful thought in lesson planning, and provide evidence of differentiation.
- Student IEP or ELL needs. Will address special needs and English language learners if the assigned student population mandates this need.
- Developmentally-appropriate instruction. Will demonstrate evidence of hands-on, developmentally appropriate teaching techniques.
- Culturally-responsive teaching. Will demonstrate consideration for students' various cultures and experiences in lesson materials or activities.
- Integration of technology and media resources for instruction, classroom organization, and student learning. Will provide evidence of student-centered integration of technology and media resources to foster student growth and understanding. Will demonstrate that instruction includes high-quality use of these elements.
- Classroom and behavior management. Will provide evidence that classroom and behavior management strategies support a positive learning environment.

The candidate will write one reflection (1+ pages) per lesson plan that substantially addresses how the candidate's faith, teaching philosophy, and data influenced instructional planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for diverse learner need. Please include scholarly research that supports your reflection. Please make sure to discuss how your lesson plan meets one or all of the elements above.

Scoring Rubric

Highly Effective - Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Excellent use of data to improve teaching and learning.

Effective - Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to improve teaching and learning.

Approaching Effective – Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning.

Ineffective – Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in the use of data to improve teaching and learning.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Approaching Effective	Ineffective
Plans demonstrated accurate knowledge and skills for the subject and age group. **Content Knowledge** (CAEP 1.1, 1.2; InTASC 4,5,7, VDOE 1)	Demonstrated the criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Artifacts indicate excellent knowledge and skill application in instructional planning. Role model for others.	Met the criterion as described. Artifacts indicate adequate knowledge and skill application needed for effective instructional planning.	Minor aspects of the criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the candidate's level of effectiveness in instructional planning.	Major elements of the criterion were not met or omitted. The candidate requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in instructional planning.
Plans included learning experiences that are appropriate for curriculum goals and content standards and relevant to learners. **Lesson Alignment** (CAEP 1.2, 1.3; InTASC 5,7; VDOE 2)	Lesson content and activities were aligned to SOLs and learning objectives using an interdisciplinary approach. Used excellent sequencing of learning experiences and provides multiple ways to demonstrate knowledge and skill. Planned time realistically for lesson progression, pacing, and transitions to ensure content mastery.	Lesson content and activities were aligned to SOLs and learning objectives. Used appropriate sequencing of learning experiences and provided multiple ways to demonstrate knowledge and skill. Planned time realistically for lesson progression, pacing, and transitions to ensure content mastery.	Lesson content and activities were mostly aligned to SOLs and objectives. Minor adjustments needed in sequencing or lessons needed to provide more ways for students to demonstrate knowledge and skills. The plans need minor revisions to ensure content mastery.	Lesson content and activities misaligned to SOLs and learning objectives. Gaps in instruction were evident. The lessons are not likely to lead to content mastery.
Plans required students' critical thinking,	The plans provided evidence that the teacher plans for	The lessons included the use of formative and	Each lesson included an assessment and	The lesson plans lacked an assessment

creativity, or problem solving, and integrated real-world scenarios. **Student Engagement & Learning** (CAEP R1.1, InTASC 1, 2, 5, 8; VDOE 3, 6)	instruction based on formative and summative assessment data, prior learner knowledge, and learner interest. Plans substantially address how the teacher will give constructive and frequent student feedback. Excellent description of assessments used to measure the stated learning outcomes.	summative assessments. Lessons addressed how the teacher will give constructive and frequent student feedback. Adequate description assessments that measure the stated learning outcomes.	indicated how the teacher provided student feedback. The assessment(s) may not fully-measure the stated learning outcomes.	description or provided no indication of teacher feedback to students during the lessons. Or, the assessments lacked alignment with the stated objective(s).
Lesson content and activities were aligned to SOLs and learning objectives. **Lesson Alignment** (CAEP 1.2, 1.3; InTASC 5,7; VDOE 2)	The plans included varied management strategies to ensure a positive learning environment - giving focused attention to students' movement, groupings, and diverse needs. Expectations and procedures were clear and concise. Strategies are likely to yield an affirmative environment conducive to learning.	The lessons included management strategies to ensure a positive learning environment - giving attention to students' movement, groupings, and diverse needs. Expectations and procedures were outlined and foster a positive learning environment.	The lessons partially indicated how the teacher manages learners to ensure a positive learning environment —left the professor with a few questions. Or, outlined expectations and procedures require minor adjustments to foster a positive learning environment.	The plan provided no evidence of specific classroom management strategies. Expectations and procedures were unclear or unlikely to foster a positive learning environment.
The candidate used appropriate sequencing of learning experiences and provided multiple ways to demonstrate knowledge and skill. **Lesson Detail & Design** (CAEP R1.3, R1.4; InTASC 7,10; VDOE 2)	The plans were comprehensive and provided ample yet concise detail. Excellent use of lesson planning components for effective instruction. Lesson progressions are meticulous and will likely lead to high-level learning outcomes. The plan was neatly formatted and used excellent grammar, spelling, etc.	The plan provided adequate detail so that another teacher could follow each plan. Included all necessary lesson components. Lesson progressions are logical and will likely lead to positive learning outcomes. There were 1 or 2 formatting or writing errors.	Lesson components were minimally lacking (e.g., needed more detail, too wordy, omitted a minor component). Left the professor with a few questions. There were 3 or 4 formatting or writing errors.	The plan was missing components, was hard to follow, and left the professor with many unanswered questions. The plana had multiple formatting or writing errors (5+).

The plans included differentiated and culturally responsive instruction. **Assessment** (CAEP R1.1, R1.3; InTASC 1,2,6; VDOE 4)	The lesson reflections provided excellent insights into classroom issues and events with evidence of synthesizing the experience into a clear plan of action, with short- and long-range goals. Substantially addressed how faith, teaching philosophy, and data influenced planning and decision-making, including the use of adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. 1+ page reflection.	The lesson reflections provided ample perceptions of classroom issues and events related to each lesson with evidence of synthesizing the experience into a plan of action. Adequately addressed how faith, teaching philosophy, and data influenced planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. 1+ page reflection.	The lesson reflections provided some perceptions of classroom issues, events, and personal growth. Made connections with implications for self or students but lacked a plan of action. Omitted discussion of one component (faith, teaching philosophy, or data) and the influence on planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. Pagination was minimally deficient.	The lesson reflection described neutral experiences without personal resonance or impact. The reflection was lacking in depth or scope. Omitted discussion of 2+ components (faith, teaching philosophy, or data) and the influence on planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. Pagination substantially deficient.
The plans included reinforcement of learning goals. **Student Engagement & Learning** (CAEP R1.1, InTASC 1, 2, 5, 8; VDOE 3, 6)	The lesson reflections provided excellent insights into classroom issues and events with evidence of synthesizing the experience into a clear plan of action, with short- and long-range goals. Substantially addressed how faith, teaching philosophy, and data influenced planning and decision-making, including the use of adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. 1+ page reflection.	The lesson reflections provided ample perceptions of classroom issues and events related to each lesson with evidence of synthesizing the experience into a plan of action. Adequately addressed how faith, teaching philosophy, and data influenced planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. 1+ page reflection.	The lesson reflections provided some perceptions of classroom issues, events, and personal growth. Made connections with implications for self or students but lacked a plan of action. Omitted discussion of one component (faith, teaching philosophy, or data) and the influence on planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. Pagination was minimally deficient.	The lesson reflection described neutral experiences without personal resonance or impact. The reflection was lacking in depth or scope. Omitted discussion of 2+ components (faith, teaching philosophy, or data) and the influence on planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. Pagination substantially deficient.
The candidate adjusted plans to meet learning needs.	The lesson reflections provided excellent insights into classroom issues and events	The lesson reflections provided ample perceptions of classroom issues and events related	The lesson reflections provided some perceptions of classroom issues, events, and personal	The lesson reflection described neutral experiences without personal resonance or

Student Engagement & Learning (CAEP R1.1, InTASC 1, 2, 5, 8; VDOE 3, 6)	with evidence of synthesizing the experience into a clear plan of action, with short- and long-range goals. Substantially addressed how faith, teaching philosophy, and data influenced planning and decision-making, including the use of adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. 1+ page reflection.	to each lesson with evidence of synthesizing the experience into a plan of action. Adequately addressed how faith, teaching philosophy, and data influenced planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. 1+ page reflection.	growth. Made connections with implications for self or students but lacked a plan of action. Omitted discussion of one component (faith, teaching philosophy, or data) and the influence on planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. Pagination was minimally deficient.	impact. The reflection was lacking in depth or scope. Omitted discussion of 2+ components (faith, teaching philosophy, or data) and the influence on planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. Pagination substantially deficient.
Lesson progressions are logical and will likely lead to positive learning outcomes. **Lesson Alignment** (CAEP 1.2, 1.3; InTASC 5,7; VDOE 2)	The lesson reflections provided excellent insights into classroom issues and events with evidence of synthesizing the experience into a clear plan of action, with short- and long-range goals. Substantially addressed how faith, teaching philosophy, and data influenced planning and decision-making, including the use of adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. 1+ page reflection.	The lesson reflections provided ample perceptions of classroom issues and events related to each lesson with evidence of synthesizing the experience into a plan of action. Adequately addressed how faith, teaching philosophy, and data influenced planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. 1+ page reflection.	The lesson reflections provided some perceptions of classroom issues, events, and personal growth. Made connections with implications for self or students but lacked a plan of action. Omitted discussion of one component (faith, teaching philosophy, or data) and the influence on planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. Pagination was minimally deficient.	The lesson reflection described neutral experiences without personal resonance or impact. The reflection was lacking in depth or scope. Omitted discussion of 2+ components (faith, teaching philosophy, or data) and the influence on planning and decision-making, including adaptations and accommodations for different learner needs. Pagination substantially deficient.

Assignment #3: Teaching Video

The candidate will provide a teaching video of a continuous 30-minute teaching session of one of the five lessons submitted in the lesson planning assignment. The candidate will upload the UNEDITED video to YouTube on an unlisted setting and submit the link (URL) for the YouTube video as part of the final portfolio.

Scoring Rubric

Highly Effective - Demonstrated criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. Excellent use of data to improve teaching and learning.

Effective - Met criterion as described. Reflects a sound understanding of how to use data to improve teaching and learning.

Approaching Effective – Minor aspects of criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the effectiveness of the use of data to improve teaching and learning.

Ineffective – Major elements of criterion were not met or omitted. Requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in the use of data to improve teaching and learning.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Approaching Effective	Ineffective
The candidate demonstrated a sound understanding of the curriculum objectives and subject content. **Professional Knowledge** (CAEP R1.1, R1.2, InTASC 2, 5; VDOE 1,2,3, 6)	Demonstrated the criterion in an exceptional, creative, and/or innovative way. It is highly likely the candidate's teaching will result in positive student learning outcomes. A role model for others..	Met the criterion as described. The candidate's teaching will likely result in positive student learning outcomes.	Minor aspects of the criterion were omitted, not met, or need revision. Further professional development may increase the candidate's level of effectiveness in instructional delivery	Major elements of the criterion were not met or omitted. The candidate requires focused and substantial professional growth to become effective in instructional delivery.
The candidate accurately and effectively communicated concepts, processes, and knowledge in the discipline. **Professional Knowledge** (CAEP R1.1, R1.2, InTASC 2, 5; VDOE 1,2,3, 6)	The teacher followed the designated differentiated lesson plan, skillfully adjusting instruction as needed to ensure all students reach the desired learning outcome(s). The lesson was aligned to VA SOLs and school's curriculum. There was a clear learning progression from the prior lesson and to the subsequent lesson	The teacher follows the designated differentiated lesson plan, including components for effective instruction to meet the needs of all students. The lesson was aligned to the VA SOLs and school's curriculum. There was alignment to the prior and subsequent lessons.	The teacher implemented minimal alignment of the VA SOLs and school's curriculum. The lesson lacked alignment to the prior and subsequent lessons. Student-use of strategies and resources were too few or too narrowly applied to meet the needs of all students.	The teacher did not align the lesson using the VA SOLs and school's curriculum. There was no alignment to prior and subsequent lessons. The lesson lacked effective use of strategies, resources, or data.

The candidate used vocabulary and academic language that was clear, correct, and appropriate for learners. **Professional Knowledge** (CAEP R1.1, R1.2, InTASC 2, 5; VDOE 1,2,3, 6)	The teacher varied his/her role in the instructional process in relation to the content and purposes of instruction and the needs of learners. During instruction, the teacher guided students' use of a variety of strategies and resources, including technology, for learning. The teachers used multiple forms of communication to achieve desired learning goals.	The teacher varied his/her role in the instructional process in relation to the content and purposes of instruction and the needs of learners. During instruction, the teacher guided students' use of suitable strategies and resources for learning. The teacher communicated clearly throughout the lesson.	The teacher minimally varied his/her role in the instructional process. During instruction, the teacher guided students' use of limited or ineffective strategies or resources for learning. Communication needed to be more specific.	The teacher did not vary his/her role in the instructional process. During instruction, the teacher did not guide students successfully to use learning strategies or resources. No evidence student learning occurred. Communication was confusing.
The candidate followed the designated differentiated lesson plan. **Instructional Planning used to Guide Instruction** (CAEP R1.2, InTASC 5, VDOE 2, 6)	The teacher skillfully used high-quality formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher sought student feedback and provided feedback to students. The teacher continuously monitored student learning, engaged learners in assessing their progress, and adjusted instruction in response to student learning needs. There was clear and compelling evidence that data were used to differentiate instruction to meet all students' needs using a variety of methods and in an exemplary matter (i.e. grouping,	The teacher used sound formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided feedback to students. The teacher monitored student learning and adjusted instruction in response to student learning needs. There was adequate evidence that data were used to differentiate instruction to meet all students' needs using a variety of methods.	The teacher used minimal formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided little feedback to students. The teacher monitored student learning but failed to adjust instruction in response to student learning needs. There was scant evidence data were used instructional decision-making to meet all students' needs.	The teacher did not use formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided no feedback to students. The teacher did not monitor instruction nor adjust instruction in response to student learning needs. There was no evidence data were used instructional decision-making to meet all students' needs.

78

	remediation, enrichment, culturally-responsive methods).			
The candidate included components for effective instruction to meet the needs of all students. **Instructional Planning used to Guide Instruction** (CAEP R1.2, InTASC 5, VDOE 2, 6)	The teacher involved students in setting and reinforcing expectations for a safe, positive learning environment through collaborative discussion. There was strong evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives. The learning climate included openness, mutual respect, support, and inquiry.	The teacher set and reinforced expectations for a safe, positive learning environment. There was evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives.	The teacher attempted to set expectations for a safe, positive learning environment but did not reinforce the expectations. Students' respect for others and responsibility for their own work was intermittent. Routines were present yet require adjustment to be effective. The teacher demonstrated verbal and non-verbal communications that were sometimes contradictory or had a less than respectful or responsive quality. Specific improvements in this area would enhance the learning environment.	The teacher did not use formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided no feedback to students. The teacher did not monitor instruction nor adjust instruction in response to student learning needs. There was no evidence data were used instructional decision-making to meet all students' needs.
The lessons were aligned to the VA SOLs and school's curriculum, and prior and subsequent lessons. **Instructional Planning used to Guide Instruction** (CAEP R1.2, InTASC 5, VDOE 2, 6)	The teacher involved students in setting and reinforcing expectations for a safe, positive learning environment through collaborative discussion. There was strong evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for	The teacher set and reinforced expectations for a safe, positive learning environment. There was evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal	The teacher attempted to set expectations for a safe, positive learning environment but did not reinforce the expectations. Students' respect for others and responsibility for their own work was intermittent. Routines were present yet require	The teacher did not use formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided no feedback to students. The teacher did not monitor instruction nor adjust instruction in response to student

	their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives. The learning climate included openness, mutual respect, support, and inquiry.	communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives.	adjustment to be effective. The teacher demonstrated verbal and non-verbal communications that were sometimes contradictory or had a less than respectful or responsive quality. Specific improvements in this area would enhance the learning environment.	learning needs. There was no evidence data were used instructional decision-making to meet all students' needs.
The candidate varied his or her role in the instructional process in relation to the content, purposes of instruction, and the needs of learners. **Instructional Delivery** (CAEP R1.2, InTASC 5, VDOE 3)	The teacher involved students in setting and reinforcing expectations for a safe, positive learning environment through collaborative discussion. There was strong evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives. The learning climate included openness, mutual respect, support, and inquiry.	The teacher set and reinforced expectations for a safe, positive learning environment. There was evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives.	The teacher attempted to set expectations for a safe, positive learning environment but did not reinforce the expectations. Students' respect for others and responsibility for their own work was intermittent. Routines were present yet require adjustment to be effective. The teacher demonstrated verbal and non-verbal communications that were sometimes contradictory or had a less than respectful or responsive quality. Specific improvements in this area would enhance the learning environment.	The teacher did not use formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided no feedback to students. The teacher did not monitor instruction nor adjust instruction in response to student learning needs. There was no evidence data were used instructional decision-making to meet all students' needs.

The candidate guided students' use of suitable strategies and resources for learning. **Instructional Delivery** (CAEP R1.2, InTASC 5, VDOE 3)	The teacher involved students in setting and reinforcing expectations for a safe, positive learning environment through collaborative discussion. There was strong evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives. The learning climate included openness, mutual respect, support, and inquiry.	The teacher set and reinforced expectations for a safe, positive learning environment. There was evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives.	The teacher attempted to set expectations for a safe, positive learning environment but did not reinforce the expectations. Students' respect for others and responsibility for their own work was intermittent. Routines were present yet require adjustment to be effective. The teacher demonstrated verbal and non-verbal communications that were sometimes contradictory or had a less than respectful or responsive quality. Specific improvements in this area would enhance the learning environment.	The teacher did not use formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided no feedback to students. The teacher did not monitor instruction nor adjust instruction in response to student learning needs. There was no evidence data were used instructional decision-making to meet all students' needs.
The candidate communicated clearly throughout the lesson. **Instructional Delivery** (CAEP R1.2, InTASC 5, VDOE 3)	The teacher involved students in setting and reinforcing expectations for a safe, positive learning environment through collaborative discussion. There was strong evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal	The teacher set and reinforced expectations for a safe, positive learning environment. There was evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively,	The teacher attempted to set expectations for a safe, positive learning environment but did not reinforce the expectations. Students' respect for others and responsibility for their own work was intermittent. Routines were present yet require adjustment to be effective. The teacher demonstrated verbal and non-verbal	The teacher did not use formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided no feedback to students. The teacher did not monitor instruction nor adjust instruction in response to student learning needs. There was no evidence data were used instructional decision-making to

	communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives. The learning climate included openness, mutual respect, support, and inquiry.	respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives.	communications that were sometimes contradictory or had a less than respectful or responsive quality. Specific improvements in this area would enhance the learning environment.	meet all students' needs.
The candidate used sound formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding and provide feedback to students. **Assessment of/for Student Learning** (CAEP R1.3, InTASC 6, VDOE 4, 6)	The teacher involved students in setting and reinforcing expectations for a safe, positive learning environment through collaborative discussion. There was strong evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives. The learning climate included openness, mutual respect, support, and inquiry.	The teacher set and reinforced expectations for a safe, positive learning environment. There was evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives.	The teacher attempted to set expectations for a safe, positive learning environment but did not reinforce the expectations. Students' respect for others and responsibility for their own work was intermittent. Routines were present yet require adjustment to be effective. The teacher demonstrated verbal and non-verbal communications that were sometimes contradictory or had a less than respectful or responsive quality. Specific improvements in this area would enhance the learning environment.	The teacher did not use formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided no feedback to students. The teacher did not monitor instruction nor adjust instruction in response to student learning needs. There was no evidence data were used instructional decision-making to meet all students' needs.
The instructional session provided adequate evidence that data were used to differentiate	The teacher involved students in setting and reinforcing expectations for a	The teacher set and reinforced expectations for a safe, positive learning	The teacher attempted to set expectations for a safe, positive learning	The teacher did not use formative or summative assessment strategies to check

instruction to meet all students' needs, using a variety of methods. **Assessment of/for Student Learning** (CAEP R1.3, InTASC 6, VDOE 4, 6)	safe, positive learning environment through collaborative discussion. There was strong evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives. The learning climate included openness, mutual respect, support, and inquiry.	environment. There was evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives.	environment but did not reinforce the expectations. Students' respect for others and responsibility for their own work was intermittent. Routines were present yet require adjustment to be effective. The teacher demonstrated verbal and non-verbal communications that were sometimes contradictory or had a less than respectful or responsive quality. Specific improvements in this area would enhance the learning environment.	student understanding. The teacher provided no feedback to students. The teacher did not monitor instruction nor adjust instruction in response to student learning needs. There was no evidence data were used instructional decision-making to meet all students' needs.
The candidate set and reinforced expectations for a safe, positive learning environment. **Learning Environment** (CAEP R1.1; InTASC 1,2; VDOE 5)	The teacher involved students in setting and reinforcing expectations for a safe, positive learning environment through collaborative discussion. There was strong evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively,	The teacher set and reinforced expectations for a safe, positive learning environment. There was evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives.	The teacher attempted to set expectations for a safe, positive learning environment but did not reinforce the expectations. Students' respect for others and responsibility for their own work was intermittent. Routines were present yet require adjustment to be effective. The teacher demonstrated verbal and non-verbal communications that were sometimes contradictory or had a less than	The teacher did not use formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided no feedback to students. The teacher did not monitor instruction nor adjust instruction in response to student learning needs. There was no evidence data were used instructional decision-making to meet all students' needs.

	respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives. The learning climate included openness, mutual respect, support, and inquiry.		respectful or responsive quality. Specific improvements in this area would enhance the learning environment.	
The candidate provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. **Learning Environment** (CAEP R1.1; InTASC 1,2; VDOE 5)	The teacher involved students in setting and reinforcing expectations for a safe, positive learning environment through collaborative discussion. There was strong evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives. The learning climate included openness, mutual respect, support, and inquiry.	The teacher set and reinforced expectations for a safe, positive learning environment. There was evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives.	The teacher attempted to set expectations for a safe, positive learning environment but did not reinforce the expectations. Students' respect for others and responsibility for their own work was intermittent. Routines were present yet require adjustment to be effective. The teacher demonstrated verbal and non-verbal communications that were sometimes contradictory or had a less than respectful or responsive quality. Specific improvements in this area would enhance the learning environment.	The teacher did not use formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided no feedback to students. The teacher did not monitor instruction nor adjust instruction in response to student learning needs. There was no evidence data were used instructional decision-making to meet all students' needs.
The candidate demonstrated respect for learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives. **Learning Environment**	The teacher involved students in setting and reinforcing expectations for a safe, positive learning environment through collaborative	The teacher set and reinforced expectations for a safe, positive learning environment. There was evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for	The teacher attempted to set expectations for a safe, positive learning environment but did not reinforce the expectations. Students' respect for others and	The teacher did not use formative or summative assessment strategies to check student understanding. The teacher provided no feedback to students. The

(CAEP R1.1; InTASC 1,2; VDOE 5)	discussion. There was strong evidence of students' respect for others, responsibility for their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives. The learning climate included openness, mutual respect, support, and inquiry.	their own work, and purposeful routines. The teacher provided verbal and non-verbal communication in a respectful manner. S/he listened responsively and supportively, respecting learners' cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives.	responsibility for their own work was intermittent. Routines were present yet require adjustment to be effective. The teacher demonstrated verbal and non-verbal communications that were sometimes contradictory or had a less than respectful or responsive quality. Specific improvements in this area would enhance the learning environment.	teacher did not monitor instruction nor adjust instruction in response to student learning needs. There was no evidence data were used instructional decision-making to meet all students' needs.